				10	6 to 64 year	ars				(	55 years and o	ver
			bility or		<u> </u>						With a n	nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin		With a	a work dis			self-care	limitation
A	Total	Normalian	Damand	Managh an	D	Percent	Normalian	Danasant	Percent	Total	Normalian	D
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed	Number	Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Ackley	878	28	3.2%	19	2.2%	15.8%	84	9.6%	32.1%	430	88	20.5%
Ackworth	39	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	15.4%	66.7%	26	0	0.0%
Adair	452	23	5.1%	10	2.2%	60.0%	39	8.6%	53.8%	240	57	23.8%
Adel	1,952	41	2.1%	20	1.0%	25.0%	165	8.5%	62.4%	427	52	12.2%
Afton	492	33	6.7%	27	5.5%	7.4%	53	10.8%	28.3%	187	55	29.4%
Agency	335	14	4.2%	10	3.0%	0.0%	47	14.0%	31.9%	147	37	25.2%
Ainsworth	345	12	3.5%	3	0.9%	0.0%	22	6.4%	36.4%	87	9	10.3%
Akron	748	25	3.3%	14	1.9%	0.0%	64	8.6%	45.3%	380	86	22.6%
Albert City	383	7	1.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	2.6%	80.0%	169	43	25.4%
Albia	1,981	184	9.3%	81	4.1%	25.9%	272	13.7%	25.0%	844	209	24.8%
Albion	364	4	1.1%	4	1.1%	0.0%	37	10.2%	37.8%	62	9	14.5%
Alburnett	236	9	3.8%	9	3.8%	22.2%	18	7.6%	27.8%	79	11	13.9%
Alden	507	18	3.6%	4	0.8%	0.0%	47	9.3%	38.3%	129	31	24.0%
Alexander	80	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	6.3%	60.0%	57	15	26.3%
Algona	3,366	66	2.0%	34	1.0%	11.8%	359	10.7%	39.3%	1058	238	22.5%
Alleman	252	10	4.0%	2	0.8%	100.0%	16	6.3%	37.5%	19	2	10.5%
Allerton	310	17	5.5%	2	0.6%	0.0%	28	9.0%	7.1%	122	19	15.6%
Allison	511	14	2.7%	4	0.8%	50.0%	17	3.3%	35.3%	244	40	16.4%
Alta	1,039	46	4.4%	29	2.8%	55.2%	98	9.4%	53.1%	304	47	15.5%
Alta Vista	123	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0.0%	5	4.1%	80.0%	74	13	17.6%
Alton	605	23	3.8%	7	1.2%	57.1%	48	7.9%	62.5%	184	27	14.7%
Altoona	4,653	79	1.7%	55	1.2%	40.0%	210	4.5%	51.4%	348	77	22.1%
Alvord	115	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	3.5%	100.0%	28	4	14.3%
Ames	37,495	380	1.0%	165	0.4%	40.0%	1,218	3.2%	52.5%	2963	472	15.9%
Anamosa	2,215	81	3.7%	36	1.6%	33.3%	189	8.5%	43.4%	591	82	13.9%
Andover	69	5	7.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	9	13.0%	0.0%	13	2	15.4%
Andrew	185	12	6.5%	7	3.8%	42.9%	18	9.7%	50.0%	60	11	18.3%
Anita	555	17	3.1%	10	1.8%	0.0%	45	8.1%	33.3%	227	34	15.0%
Ankeny	12,804	237	1.9%	134	1.0%	17.2%	661	5.2%	49.2%	881	110	12.5%
Anthon	318	2	0.6%	2	0.6%	0.0%	19	6.0%	26.3%	179	26	14.5%
Aplington	502	29	5.8%	11	2.2%	27.3%	42	8.4%	50.0%	256	30	11.7%
Arcadia	255	8	3.1%	6	2.4%	66.7%	21	8.2%	57.1%	102	12	11.8%
Archer	56	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	3.6%	100.0%	31	4	12.9%
Aredale	37	3	8.1%	1	2.7%	0.0%	11	29.7%	0.0%	17	3	17.6%
Arion	91	4	4.4%	2	2.2%	0.0%	7	7.7%	57.1%	18	2	11.1%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or		<del></del>							nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis			self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Arispe	37	2	5.4%	2	5.4%	0.0%	13	35.1%	15.4%	28	12	42.9%
Arlington	212	6	2.8%	4	1.9%	0.0%	19	9.0%	21.1%	113	14	12.4%
Armstrong	569	18	3.2%	8	1.4%	0.0%	30	5.3%	43.3%	196	27	13.8%
Arnolds Park	557	14	2.5%	7	1.3%	28.6%	34	6.1%	50.0%	241	28	11.6%
Arthur	118	9	7.6%	2	1.7%	100.0%	9	7.6%	100.0%	89	4	4.5%
Asbury	1,249	25	2.0%	6	0.5%	50.0%	37	3.0%	35.1%	75	14	18.7%
Ashton	216	10	4.6%	3	1.4%	0.0%	15	6.9%	46.7%	120	22	18.3%
Aspinwall	34	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	0	0.0%
Atalissa	220	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	9	4.1%	44.4%	41	12	29.3%
Athelstan	16	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	31.3%	0.0%	9	5	55.6%
Atkins	360	16	4.4%	2	0.6%	0.0%	21	5.8%	38.1%	83	6	7.2%
Atlantic	4,041	159	3.9%	69	1.7%	14.5%	321	7.9%	24.9%	1477	274	18.6%
Auburn	141	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	14	9.9%	28.6%	84	18	21.4%
Audubon	1,252	62	5.0%	24	1.9%	8.3%	102	8.1%	42.2%	646	97	15.0%
Aurelia	513	10	1.9%	8	1.6%	0.0%	52	10.1%	30.8%	249	35	14.1%
Aurora	121	8	6.6%	3	2.5%	33.3%	11	9.1%	27.3%	26	4	15.4%
Avoca	825	34	4.1%	11	1.3%	18.2%	48	5.8%	52.1%	325	53	16.3%
Ayrshire	95	10	10.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	10.5%	50.0%	51	12	23.5%
Badger	336	11	3.3%	7	2.1%	0.0%	20	6.0%	55.0%	68	9	13.2%
Bagley	153	2	1.3%	2	1.3%	0.0%	12	7.8%	16.7%	89	15	16.9%
Baldwin	94	2	2.1%	2	2.1%	0.0%	9	9.6%	44.4%	20	5	25.0%
Balltown	44	2	4.5%	2	4.5%	0.0%	2	4.5%	0.0%	14	0	0.0%
Bancroft	452	20	4.4%	18	4.0%	16.7%	43	9.5%	27.9%	195	36	18.5%
Bankston	14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	0	0.0%
Barnes City	127	10	7.9%	8	6.3%	0.0%	20	15.7%	40.0%	60	14	23.3%
Barnum	101	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	0.0%	14	13.9%	42.9%	26	5	19.2%
Bassett	40	9	22.5%	9	22.5%	22.2%	14	35.0%	14.3%	21	6	28.6%
Batavia	350	8	2.3%	2	0.6%	0.0%	28	8.0%	17.9%	92	16	17.4%
Battle Creek	383	11	2.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	39	10.2%	59.0%	212	47	22.2%
Baxter	484	20	4.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	27	5.6%	70.4%	154	27	17.5%
Bayard	252	16	6.3%	6	2.4%	0.0%	18	7.1%	27.8%	148	27	18.2%
Beacon	319	24	7.5%	10	3.1%	40.0%	49	15.4%	46.9%	56	12	21.4%
Beaconsfield	10	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	20.0%	100.0%	13	8	61.5%
Beaman	88	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	2.3%	0.0%	41	7	17.1%
Beaver	27	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	14.8%	50.0%	10	1	10.0%
Bedford	764	34	4.5%	18	2.4%	5.6%	93	12.2%	19.4%	440	62	14.1%

					6	5 years and o	ver					
		With mo	bility or		6 to 64 yea							obility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Belle Plaine	1,513	33	2.2%	15	1.0%	0.0%	138	9.1%	34.8%	617	101	16.4%
Bellevue	1,207	29	2.4%	12	1.0%	16.7%	85	7.0%	37.6%	439	87	19.8%
Belmond	1,301	40	3.1%	11	0.8%	81.8%	89	6.8%	68.5%	578	101	17.5%
Bennett	228	5	2.2%	5	2.2%	100.0%	18	7.9%	72.2%	89	20	22.5%
Benton	28	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	25.0%	28.6%	0	0	#DIV/0!
Berkley	23	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	#DIV/0!
Bernard	78	5	6.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	6.4%	100.0%	16	0	0.0%
Bertram	115	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3	2.6%	100.0%	35	5	14.3%
Bettendorf	18,243	341	1.9%	205	1.1%	19.5%	954	5.2%	50.1%	2654	374	14.1%
Bevington	44	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0.0%	3	6.8%	0.0%	4	2	50.0%
Birmingham	203	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	0.0%	17	8.4%	41.2%	98	21	21.4%
Blairsburg	171	2	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	3.5%	100.0%	27	1	3.7%
Blairstown	380	7	1.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	22	5.8%	50.0%	151	22	14.6%
Blakesburg	182	8	4.4%	3	1.6%	100.0%	26	14.3%	46.2%	107	20	18.7%
Blanchard	42	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	11.9%	0.0%	13	6	46.2%
Blencoe	128	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	6.3%	25.0%	69	4	5.8%
Blockton	82	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	8.5%	0.0%	68	9	13.2%
Bloomfield	1,298	69	5.3%	31	2.4%	22.6%	140	10.8%	16.4%	617	137	22.2%
Blue Grass	799	27	3.4%	17	2.1%	29.4%	59	7.4%	44.1%	103	9	8.7%
Bode	152	9	5.9%	9	5.9%	22.2%	31	20.4%	32.3%	123	18	14.6%
Bonaparte	272	4	1.5%	2	0.7%	0.0%	19	7.0%	10.5%	82	15	18.3%
Bondurant	970	16	1.6%	5	0.5%	0.0%	43	4.4%	48.8%	104	19	18.3%
Boone	7,141	280	3.9%	148	2.1%	20.3%	749	10.5%	40.2%	2040	309	15.1%
Bouton	93	6	6.5%	4	4.3%	0.0%	11	11.8%	45.5%	16	3	18.8%
Boxholm	110	7	6.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	5.5%	33.3%	62	6	9.7%
Boyden	340	6	1.8%	4	1.2%	0.0%	15	4.4%	53.3%	151	31	20.5%
Braddyville	114	3	2.6%	3	2.6%	0.0%	10	8.8%	50.0%	34	5	14.7%
Bradgate	62	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	0.0%	6	9.7%	0.0%	33	6	18.2%
Brandon	200	7	3.5%	4	2.0%	50.0%	18	9.0%	22.2%	50	14	28.0%
Brayton	88	4	4.5%	2	2.3%	0.0%	9	10.2%	55.6%	36	4	11.1%
Breda	228	18	7.9%	5	2.2%	0.0%	15	6.6%	26.7%	138	24	17.4%
Bridgewater	78	4	5.1%	2	2.6%	0.0%	4	5.1%	0.0%	78	12	15.4%
Brighton	378	20	5.3%	10	2.6%	0.0%	49	13.0%	22.4%	114	30	26.3%
Bristow	96	3	3.1%	2	2.1%	0.0%	16	16.7%	37.5%	44	6	13.6%
Britt	1,111	49	4.4%	22	2.0%	9.1%	83	7.5%	47.0%	453	89	19.6%
Bronson	127	6	4.7%	6	4.7%	66.7%	10	7.9%	80.0%	25	3	12.0%

				10	6 to 64 year	ars				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent		Total	Number	Percent
Brooklyn	789	26	3.3%	20	2.5%	40.0%	79	10.0%	44.3%	251	45	17.9%
Brunsville	71	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	5.6%	100.0%	27	12	44.4%
Buckeye	60	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	19	5	26.3%
Buck Grove	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	22.2%	0.0%	7	0	0.0%
Buffalo	793	50	6.3%	32	4.0%	50.0%	93	11.7%	47.3%	132	19	14.4%
Buffalo Center	524	27	5.2%	14	2.7%	7.1%	30	5.7%	26.7%	294	54	18.4%
Burlington	16,156	720	4.5%	403	2.5%	17.4%	1,459	9.0%	34.3%	4326	810	18.7%
Burt	271	8	3.0%	2	0.7%	0.0%	13	4.8%	15.4%	119	16	13.4%
Bussey	301	7	2.3%	2	0.7%	0.0%	18	6.0%	16.7%	103	20	19.4%
Calamus	228	6	2.6%	2	0.9%	0.0%	18	7.9%	55.6%	77	13	16.9%
Callender	186	5	2.7%	2	1.1%	0.0%	14	7.5%	14.3%	80	15	18.8%
Calmar	577	6	1.0%	1	0.2%	0.0%	42	7.3%	57.1%	190	27	14.2%
Calumet	87	5	5.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	4.6%	0.0%	35	7	20.0%
Camanche	2,868	51	1.8%	51	1.8%	0.0%	219	7.6%	30.1%	468	51	10.9%
Cambridge	422	6	1.4%	6	1.4%	0.0%	30	7.1%	43.3%	80	15	18.8%
Cantril	142	10	7.0%	3	2.1%	0.0%	7	4.9%	0.0%	56	15	26.8%
Carbon	35	2	5.7%	2	5.7%	0.0%	10	28.6%	20.0%	8	4	50.0%
Carlisle	2,040	16	0.8%	16	0.8%	50.0%	181	8.9%	45.3%	295	66	22.4%
Carpenter	48	2	4.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	34	4	11.8%
Carroll	5,378	282	5.2%	234	4.4%	44.4%	518	9.6%	58.3%	1512	329	21.8%
Carson	394	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	40	10.2%	60.0%	167	35	21.0%
Carter Lake	2,092	70	3.3%	64	3.1%	0.0%	261	12.5%	33.7%	289	68	23.5%
Cascade	967	12	1.2%	4	0.4%	50.0%	50	5.2%	54.0%	310	57	18.4%
Casey	223	4	1.8%	4	1.8%	0.0%	17	7.6%	41.2%	131	28	21.4%
Castalia	106	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	100.0%	6	5.7%	100.0%	17	1	5.9%
Castana	92	5	5.4%	3	3.3%	0.0%	15	16.3%	40.0%	24	2	8.3%
Cedar Falls	24,098	327	1.4%	214	0.9%	29.4%	1,316	5.5%	42.2%	3193	499	15.6%
Cedar Rapids	70,458	2106	3.0%	1097	1.6%	25.1%	4,770	6.8%	41.3%	13296	2,143	16.1%
Center Junction	111	5	4.5%	3	2.7%	100.0%	14	12.6%	85.7%	29	6	20.7%
Center Point	1,003	8	0.8%	6	0.6%	0.0%	63	6.3%	65.1%	240	41	17.1%
Centerville	3,206	151	4.7%	74	2.3%	0.0%	386	12.0%	22.5%	1255	285	22.7%
Central City	617	31	5.0%	8	1.3%	0.0%	62	10.0%	41.9%	208	33	15.9%
Centralia	76	10	13.2%	8	10.5%	0.0%	16	21.1%	50.0%	18	4	22.2%
Chariton	2,518	163	6.5%	102	4.1%	30.4%	325	12.9%	43.7%	924	160	17.3%
Charles City	4,291	184	4.3%	62	1.4%	33.9%	349	8.1%	38.1%	1637	264	16.1%
Charlotte	208	3	1.4%	3	1.4%	0.0%	16	7.7%	37.5%	37	12	32.4%

				1(	6 to 64 year	ırs				(	65 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or		, , ,							nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin		With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent		Total	Number	Percent
Charter Oak	235	10	4.3%	8	3.4%	12.5%	28	11.9%	42.9%	165	32	19.4%
Chatsworth	71	3	4.2%	3	4.2%	0.0%	8	11.3%	0.0%	22	0	0.0%
Chelsea	195	15	7.7%	10	5.1%	0.0%	19	9.7%	15.8%	52	7	13.5%
Cherokee	3,308	109	3.3%	41	1.2%	17.1%	320	9.7%	32.2%	1071	160	14.9%
Chester	83	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	16	19.3%	31.3%	44	9	20.5%
Chillicothe	82	5	6.1%	5	6.1%	0.0%	11	13.4%	27.3%	17	6	35.3%
Churdan	224	2	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	11	4.9%	0.0%	108	16	14.8%
Cincinnati	215	19	8.8%	3	1.4%	0.0%	45	20.9%	33.3%	101	20	19.8%
Clare	105	11	10.5%	2	1.9%	0.0%	21	20.0%	19.0%	20	10	50.0%
Clarence	445	17	3.8%	10	2.2%	20.0%	30	6.7%	40.0%	273	53	19.4%
Clarinda	2,561	94	3.7%	26	1.0%	0.0%	269	10.5%	37.2%	1015	229	22.6%
Clarion	1,456	49	3.4%	13	0.9%	0.0%	133	9.1%	39.1%	599	117	19.5%
Clarksville	710	22	3.1%	8	1.1%	0.0%	68	9.6%	29.4%	317	44	13.9%
Clayton	21	2	9.5%	2	9.5%	100.0%	6	28.6%	33.3%	6	0	0.0%
Clearfield	192	10	5.2%	5	2.6%	60.0%	32	16.7%	37.5%	89	8	9.0%
Clear Lake	5,078	299	5.9%	196	3.9%	83.2%	521	10.3%	62.0%	1250	243	19.4%
Cleghorn	163	9	5.5%	4	2.5%	50.0%	18	11.0%	44.4%	39	4	10.3%
Clemons	96	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3	3.1%	33.3%	31	7	22.6%
Clermont	297	8	2.7%	3	1.0%	0.0%	15	5.1%	66.7%	101	17	16.8%
Clinton	17,312	601	3.5%	395	2.3%	25.3%	1,544	8.9%	36.1%	4723	854	18.1%
Clio	50	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	12.0%	0.0%	16	3	18.8%
Clive	5,218	43	0.8%	25	0.5%	24.0%	178	3.4%	57.9%	297	47	15.8%
Clutier	96	7	7.3%	7	7.3%	0.0%	12	12.5%	25.0%	82	17	20.7%
Coburg	18	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	4	50.0%
Coggon	374	6	1.6%	2	0.5%	100.0%	12	3.2%	50.0%	121	26	21.5%
Coin	148	6	4.1%	6	4.1%	0.0%	28	18.9%	39.3%	42	10	23.8%
Colesburg	240	9	3.8%	7	2.9%	28.6%	22	9.2%	59.1%	116	14	12.1%
Colfax	1,526	63	4.1%	43	2.8%	0.0%	171	11.2%	46.2%	346	80	23.1%
College Springs	142	8	5.6%	3	2.1%	100.0%	18	12.7%	38.9%	35	10	28.6%
Collins	220	10	4.5%	3	1.4%	0.0%	24	10.9%	29.2%	107	23	21.5%
Colo	444	10	2.3%	2	0.5%	0.0%	36	8.1%	72.2%	126	19	15.1%
Columbus City	202	10	5.0%	10	5.0%	0.0%	34	16.8%	55.9%	48	19	39.6%
Columbus Junctio	968	25	2.6%	21	2.2%	47.6%	60	6.2%	50.0%	229	28	12.2%
Colwell	55	6	10.9%	4	7.3%	0.0%	4	7.3%	0.0%	17	9	52.9%
Conesville	226	7	3.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	23	10.2%	47.8%	26	5	19.2%
Conrad	519	, 11	2.1%	5	1.0%	60.0%	47	9.1%	51.1%	205	34	16.6%

				10	6 to 64 year	ars				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed	Number	Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Conway	27	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	0.0%	1	3.7%	0.0%	11	3	27.3%
Coon Rapids	641	20	3.1%	15	2.3%	6.7%	55	8.6%	47.3%	284	57	20.1%
Coppock	22	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	9.1%	0.0%	7	0	0.0%
Coralville	7,377	173	2.3%	98	1.3%	28.6%	485	6.6%	49.5%	563	113	20.1%
Corning	944	23	2.4%	7	0.7%	28.6%	69	7.3%	46.4%	484	96	19.8%
Correctionville	442	8	1.8%	4	0.9%	0.0%	24	5.4%	20.8%	280	99	35.4%
Corwith	170	5	2.9%	2	1.2%	0.0%	17	10.0%	41.2%	85	9	10.6%
Corydon	773	21	2.7%	17	2.2%	23.5%	69	8.9%	39.1%	515	109	21.2%
Cotter	48	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	0	0.0%
Coulter	145	15	10.3%	11	7.6%	0.0%	20	13.8%	10.0%	51	16	31.4%
Council Bluffs	33,594	1488	4.4%	831	2.5%	19.5%	3,795	11.3%	36.2%	6944	1,409	20.3%
Craig	71	4	5.6%	2	2.8%	0.0%	9	12.7%	0.0%	7	0	0.0%
Crawfordsville	135	3	2.2%	3	2.2%	0.0%	11	8.1%	18.2%	65	4	6.2%
Crescent	65	4	6.2%	2	3.1%	0.0%	12	18.5%	66.7%	20	5	25.0%
Cresco	1,919	57	3.0%	16	0.8%	37.5%	159	8.3%	39.6%	815	207	25.4%
Creston	4,501	163	3.6%	89	2.0%	57.3%	539	12.0%	46.2%	1467	242	16.5%
Cromwell	100	6	6.0%	3	3.0%	0.0%	11	11.0%	54.5%	10	0	0.0%
Crystal Lake	151	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	5.3%	25.0%	65	14	21.5%
Cumberland	139	6	4.3%	6	4.3%	33.3%	15	10.8%	46.7%	86	13	15.1%
Cumming	88	3	3.4%	3	3.4%	0.0%	6	6.8%	0.0%	9	0	0.0%
Curlew	19	4	21.1%	2	10.5%	0.0%	2	10.5%	0.0%	34	5	14.7%
Cushing	111	2	1.8%	2	1.8%	100.0%	11	9.9%	72.7%	85	14	16.5%
Cylinder	94	5	5.3%	5	5.3%	0.0%	9	9.6%	44.4%	20	0	0.0%
Dakota City	593	15	2.5%	13	2.2%	15.4%	51	8.6%	43.1%	108	14	13.0%
Dallas Center	815	26	3.2%	6	0.7%	33.3%	24	2.9%	41.7%	214	31	14.5%
Dana	30	2	6.7%	2	6.7%	0.0%	2	6.7%	0.0%	4	0	0.0%
Danbury	224	8	3.6%	2	0.9%	0.0%	17	7.6%	88.2%	108	33	30.6%
Danville	554	16	2.9%	6	1.1%	33.3%	40	7.2%	57.5%	139	10	7.2%
Davenport	59,171	1926	3.3%	1019	1.7%	19.4%	4,605	7.8%	37.3%	11321	1,982	17.5%
Davis City	164	9	5.5%	9	5.5%	0.0%	22	13.4%	0.0%	56	12	21.4%
Dawson	97	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	 17	17.5%	58.8%	22	0	0.0%
Dayton	453	23	5.1%	14	3.1%	28.6%	43	9.5%	34.9%	184	33	17.9%
Decatur City	106	5	4.7%	5	4.7%	0.0%	11	10.4%	18.2%	26	6	23.1%
Decorah	5,420	151	2.8%	66	1.2%	36.4%	304	5.6%	54.9%	1216	196	16.1%
Dedham	137	6	4.4%	2	1.5%	0.0%	16	11.7%	62.5%	62	5	8.1%
Deep River	169	4	2.4%	4	2.4%	100.0%	9	5.3%	77.8%	89	18	20.2%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Defiance	198	5	2.5%	3	1.5%	0.0%	12	6.1%	33.3%	44	9	20.5%
Delaware	100	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	0.0%	11	11.0%	54.5%	26	7	26.9%
Delhi	283	16	5.7%	5	1.8%	40.0%	22	7.8%	36.4%	90	9	10.0%
Delmar	294	23	7.8%	17	5.8%	11.8%	42	14.3%	31.0%	72	21	29.2%
Deloit	177	11	6.2%	2	1.1%	0.0%	29	16.4%	37.9%	39	12	30.8%
Delphos	12	7	58.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	0	0.0%
Delta	224	12	5.4%	12	5.4%	0.0%	38	17.0%	13.2%	80	14	17.5%
Denison	3,788	120	3.2%	69	1.8%	43.5%	303	8.0%	56.8%	1045	154	14.7%
Denver	944	19	2.0%	10	1.1%	0.0%	40	4.2%	50.0%	230	28	12.2%
Derby	61	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	8.2%	20.0%	28	6	21.4%
Des Moines	123,929	5153	4.2%	2511	2.0%	24.9%	11,256	9.1%	43.4%	24453	4,539	18.6%
De Soto	665	11	1.7%	9	1.4%	0.0%	56	8.4%	50.0%	39	9	23.1%
De Witt	2,567	39	1.5%	27	1.1%	0.0%	202	7.9%	49.0%	753	101	13.4%
Dexter	329	5	1.5%	5	1.5%	60.0%	28	8.5%	75.0%	138	26	18.8%
Diagonal	161	6	3.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	30	18.6%	46.7%	82	8	9.8%
Dickens	150	7	4.7%	3	2.0%	100.0%	28	18.7%	46.4%	18	5	27.8%
Dike	531	16	3.0%	3	0.6%	0.0%	34	6.4%	44.1%	133	21	15.8%
Dixon	118	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3	2.5%	0.0%	30	7	23.3%
Dolliver	62	2	3.2%	2	3.2%	0.0%	4	6.5%	50.0%	20	6	30.0%
Donahue	208	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	0.0%	15	7.2%	60.0%	26	5	19.2%
Donnan	6	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	66.7%	50.0%	2	0	0.0%
Donnellson	463	11	2.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	16	3.5%	75.0%	209	41	19.6%
Doon	263	16	6.1%	9	3.4%	44.4%	30	11.4%	36.7%	52	18	34.6%
Dougherty	64	2	3.1%	2	3.1%	0.0%	2	3.1%	0.0%	26	6	23.1%
Dow City	242	6	2.5%	2	0.8%	0.0%	15	6.2%	46.7%	108	26	24.1%
Dows	349	16	4.6%	13	3.7%	0.0%	33	9.5%	27.3%	152	37	24.3%
Drakesville	95	2	2.1%	2	2.1%	0.0%	12	12.6%	33.3%	34	7	20.6%
Dubuque	35,291	1604	4.5%	590	1.7%	26.8%	2,533	7.2%	40.7%	8292	1,515	18.3%
Dumont	353	19	5.4%	19	5.4%	31.6%	39	11.0%	25.6%	214	92	43.0%
Duncombe	273	20	7.3%	14	5.1%	14.3%	40	14.7%	50.0%	70	9	12.9%
Dundee	83	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	8.4%	28.6%	45	11	24.4%
Dunkerton	444	3	0.7%	3	0.7%	33.3%	24	5.4%	33.3%	81	6	7.4%
Dunlap	621	39	6.3%	10	1.6%	0.0%	37	6.0%	8.1%	271	47	17.3%
Durango	26	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	7.7%	100.0%	1	0	0.0%
Durant	894	19	2.1%	11	1.2%	27.3%	45	5.0%	55.6%	270	64	23.7%
Dyersville	2,159	96	4.4%	37	1.7%	56.8%	163	7.5%	69.3%	598	74	12.4%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Dysart	664	24	3.6%	14	2.1%	0.0%	51	7.7%	19.6%	256	31	12.1%
Eagle Grove	2,069	62	3.0%	17	0.8%	0.0%	158	7.6%	17.1%	702	117	16.7%
Earlham	612	10	1.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	42	6.9%	50.0%	195	33	16.9%
Earling	195	14	7.2%	10	5.1%	30.0%	34	17.4%	47.1%	106	30	28.3%
Earlville	476	5	1.1%	5	1.1%	0.0%	29	6.1%	37.9%	132	27	20.5%
Early	316	7	2.2%	3	0.9%	0.0%	33	10.4%	42.4%	169	37	21.9%
East Peru	91	6	6.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	5.5%	40.0%	15	0	0.0%
Eddyville	618	34	5.5%	14	2.3%	14.3%	75	12.1%	38.7%	142	24	16.9%
Edgewood	449	9	2.0%	2	0.4%	100.0%	21	4.7%	57.1%	177	25	14.1%
Elberon	86	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	11.6%	40.0%	35	3	8.6%
Eldon	642	33	5.1%	18	2.8%	0.0%	80	12.5%	21.3%	215	41	19.1%
Eldora	1,471	25	1.7%	14	1.0%	0.0%	124	8.4%	47.6%	636	113	17.8%
Eldridge	2,170	10	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	89	4.1%	66.3%	185	10	5.4%
Elgin	321	8	2.5%	6	1.9%	0.0%	14	4.4%	28.6%	202	42	20.8%
Elkader	831	41	4.9%	21	2.5%	66.7%	85	10.2%	52.9%	324	70	21.6%
Elkhart	251	3	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	23	9.2%	52.2%	51	9	17.6%
Elk Horn	236	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	11	4.7%	0.0%	186	29	15.6%
Elkport	36	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	11.1%	0.0%	10	3	30.0%
Elk Run Heights	687	25	3.6%	13	1.9%	0.0%	67	9.8%	41.8%	90	21	23.3%
Elliott	237	4	1.7%	4	1.7%	0.0%	18	7.6%	38.9%	89	13	14.6%
Ellston	24	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	8.3%	0.0%	11	1	9.1%
Ellsworth	254	8	3.1%	6	2.4%	100.0%	20	7.9%	70.0%	91	16	17.6%
Elma	287	25	8.7%	16	5.6%	87.5%	34	11.8%	64.7%	147	37	25.2%
Ely	318	2	0.6%	2	0.6%	0.0%	17	5.3%	23.5%	67	6	9.0%
Emerson	291	10	3.4%	6	2.1%	0.0%	28	9.6%	46.4%	91	18	19.8%
Emmetsburg	2,158	92	4.3%	58	2.7%	60.3%	262	12.1%	59.5%	733	105	14.3%
Epworth	814	29	3.6%	12	1.5%	0.0%	60	7.4%	40.0%	103	27	26.2%
Essex	533	13	2.4%	9	1.7%	0.0%	58	10.9%	25.9%	175	32	18.3%
Estherville	3,838	145	3.8%	55	1.4%	41.8%	340	8.9%	43.2%	1180	165	14.0%
Evansdale	2,840	127	4.5%	64	2.3%	12.5%	361	12.7%	36.3%	520	41	7.9%
Everly	394	16	4.1%	8	2.0%	0.0%	39	9.9%	46.2%	131	22	16.8%
Exira	395	22	5.6%	6	1.5%	33.3%	35	8.9%	45.7%	298	67	22.5%
Exline	93	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	19	20.4%	47.4%	43	16	37.2%
Fairbank	597	23	3.9%	8	1.3%	0.0%	39	6.5%	23.1%	139	13	9.4%
Fairfax	467	9	1.9%	5	1.1%	0.0%	27	5.8%	37.0%	95	11	11.6%
Fairfield	6,193	160	2.6%	64	1.0%	10.9%	501	8.1%	46.9%	1335	285	21.3%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or		<del></del>							nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Farley	786	25	3.2%	11	1.4%	18.2%	56	7.1%	48.2%	148	37	25.0%
Farmersburg	165	2	1.2%	2	1.2%	0.0%	17	10.3%	64.7%	75	10	13.3%
Farmington	386	14	3.6%	8	2.1%	37.5%	40	10.4%	42.5%	152	38	25.0%
Farnhamville	194	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	0.0%	18	9.3%	38.9%	134	14	10.4%
Farragut	252	16	6.3%	7	2.8%	28.6%	26	10.3%	26.9%	135	25	18.5%
Fayette	851	32	3.8%	11	1.3%	54.5%	53	6.2%	30.2%	162	51	31.5%
Fenton	164	6	3.7%	4	2.4%	100.0%	15	9.1%	40.0%	108	14	13.0%
Ferguson	108	6	5.6%	2	1.9%	0.0%	8	7.4%	25.0%	13	2	15.4%
Fertile	198	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	0.0%	14	7.1%	50.0%	75	5	6.7%
Floris	102	11	10.8%	9	8.8%	0.0%	21	20.6%	9.5%	24	4	16.7%
Floyd	199	9	4.5%	7	3.5%	0.0%	20	10.1%	10.0%	77	11	14.3%
Fonda	340	27	7.9%	8	2.4%	25.0%	45	13.2%	35.6%	196	27	13.8%
Fontanelle	318	6	1.9%	2	0.6%	0.0%	29	9.1%	48.3%	202	41	20.3%
Forest City	2,782	21	0.8%	10	0.4%	60.0%	87	3.1%	48.3%	596	144	24.2%
Fort Atkinson	220	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	0.0%	3	1.4%	66.7%	81	20	24.7%
Fort Dodge	14,869	538	3.6%	324	2.2%	25.6%	1,433	9.6%	37.3%	4106	547	13.3%
Fort Madison	6,403	245	3.8%	148	2.3%	8.1%	651	10.2%	37.2%	1908	350	18.3%
Fostoria	118	4	3.4%	4	3.4%	50.0%	7	5.9%	71.4%	20	0	0.0%
Franklin	96	8	8.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	12	12.5%	16.7%	23	4	17.4%
Fraser	86	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	9	10.5%	33.3%	6	2	33.3%
Fredericksburg	531	25	4.7%	12	2.3%	41.7%	46	8.7%	67.4%	179	36	20.1%
Frederika	89	8	9.0%	2	2.2%	0.0%	9	10.1%	55.6%	62	9	14.5%
Fredonia	134	7	5.2%	3	2.2%	0.0%	20	14.9%	50.0%	28	9	32.1%
Fremont	387	11	2.8%	5	1.3%	40.0%	40	10.3%	22.5%	166	28	16.9%
Fruitland	343	10	2.9%	7	2.0%	28.6%	39	11.4%	56.4%	19	5	26.3%
Galt	20	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	12	2	16.7%
Galva	187	4	2.1%	4	2.1%	50.0%	23	12.3%	60.9%	126	22	17.5%
Garber	58	2	3.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	1.7%	0.0%	22	8	36.4%
Garden Grove	119	7	5.9%	4	3.4%	0.0%	25	21.0%	32.0%	59	12	20.3%
Garnavillo	359	13	3.6%	2	0.6%	0.0%	30	8.4%	70.0%	226	41	18.1%
Garner	1,562	19	1.2%	5	0.3%	100.0%	72	4.6%	65.3%	499	137	27.5%
Garrison	188	11	5.9%	9	4.8%	22.2%	32	17.0%	40.6%	49	11	22.4%
Garwin	301	16	5.3%	5	1.7%	40.0%	29	9.6%	51.7%	116	21	18.1%
Geneva	79	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	5.1%	0.0%	49	11	22.4%
George	470	19	4.0%	2	0.4%	0.0%	35	7.4%	40.0%	355	57	16.1%
Gibson	36	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	0.0%	2	5.6%	0.0%	22	4	18.2%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ars				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed	Number	Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Gilbert	509	9	1.8%	4	0.8%	0.0%	27	5.3%	51.9%	49	2	4.1%
Gilbertville	434	8	1.8%	6	1.4%	16.7%	29	6.7%	31.0%	94	22	23.4%
Gillett Grove	54	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	1.9%	0.0%	9	3	33.3%
Gilman	320	6	1.9%	6	1.9%	0.0%	37	11.6%	43.2%	108	12	11.1%
Gilmore City	351	18	5.1%	6	1.7%	0.0%	42	12.0%	16.7%	96	13	13.5%
Gladbrook	440	21	4.8%	12	2.7%	0.0%	32	7.3%	15.6%	226	51	22.6%
Glenwood	2,695	144	5.3%	122	4.5%	0.0%	321	11.9%	30.8%	653	153	23.4%
Glidden	593	16	2.7%	6	1.0%	0.0%	41	6.9%	29.3%	199	28	14.1%
Goldfield	375	12	3.2%	10	2.7%	40.0%	44	11.7%	40.9%	171	21	12.3%
Goodell	121	8	6.6%	3	2.5%	0.0%	9	7.4%	0.0%	24	0	0.0%
Goose Lake	107	8	7.5%	8	7.5%	25.0%	14	13.1%	28.6%	38	0	0.0%
Gowrie	523	23	4.4%	15	2.9%	20.0%	40	7.6%	25.0%	237	45	19.0%
Graettinger	430	15	3.5%	6	1.4%	0.0%	30	7.0%	43.3%	204	51	25.0%
Graf	47	3	6.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	14.9%	71.4%	0	0	#DIV/0!
Grafton	146	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	3.4%	40.0%	75	16	21.3%
Grand Junction	432	11	2.5%	11	2.5%	18.2%	47	10.9%	46.8%	195	39	20.0%
Grand Mound	384	13	3.4%	7	1.8%	0.0%	26	6.8%	23.1%	79	18	22.8%
Grand River	92	3	3.3%	3	3.3%	0.0%	8	8.7%	0.0%	59	9	15.3%
Grandview	303	10	3.3%	8	2.6%	0.0%	29	9.6%	24.1%	58	9	15.5%
Granger	366	23	6.3%	7	1.9%	57.1%	21	5.7%	76.2%	80	8	10.0%
Grant	58	2	3.4%	2	3.4%	0.0%	10	17.2%	60.0%	28	8	28.6%
Granville	146	3	2.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	4.1%	66.7%	79	10	12.7%
Gravity	123	8	6.5%	2	1.6%	0.0%	31	25.2%	32.3%	32	7	21.9%
Gray	57	4	7.0%	4	7.0%	0.0%	10	17.5%	40.0%	10	3	30.0%
Greeley	133	13	9.8%	8	6.0%	0.0%	19	14.3%	31.6%	39	6	15.4%
Greene	545	17	3.1%	7	1.3%	28.6%	60	11.0%	36.7%	359	53	14.8%
Greenfield	1,070	14	1.3%	10	0.9%	20.0%	80	7.5%	37.5%	548	84	15.3%
Green Island	42	2	4.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	2.4%	100.0%	6	2	33.3%
Greenville	47	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	8.5%	50.0%	14	0	0.0%
Grimes	1,671	52	3.1%	19	1.1%	0.0%	98	5.9%	52.0%	178	31	17.4%
Grinnell	5,594	166	3.0%	50	0.9%	0.0%	382	6.8%	42.7%	1283	236	18.4%
Griswold	547	9	1.6%	7	1.3%	42.9%	56	10.2%	42.9%	252	46	18.3%
Grundy Center	1,319	65	4.9%	20	1.5%	10.0%	97	7.4%	38.1%	553	92	16.6%
Gruver	56	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	18	3	16.7%
Guernsey	36	3	8.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	16.7%	50.0%	25	8	32.0%
Guthrie Center	779	19	2.4%	8	1.0%	25.0%	66	8.5%	36.4%	451	79	17.5%

				10	6 to 64 year	ars					55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Guttenberg	1,226	55	4.5%	25	2.0%	32.0%	107	8.7%	43.9%	493	104	21.1%
Halbur	116	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3	2.6%	66.7%	32	9	28.1%
Hamburg	671	34	5.1%	26	3.9%	19.2%	47	7.0%	38.3%	307	42	13.7%
Hamilton	61	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	0.0%	17	27.9%	23.5%	16	4	25.0%
Hampton	2,293	116	5.1%	54	2.4%	31.5%	196	8.5%	45.4%	834	171	20.5%
Hancock	113	8	7.1%	2	1.8%	0.0%	7	6.2%	71.4%	43	0	0.0%
Hanlontown	99	7	7.1%	1	1.0%	0.0%	3	3.0%	66.7%	46	16	34.8%
Hansell	46	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3	6.5%	0.0%	25	2	8.0%
Harcourt	173	13	7.5%	11	6.4%	18.2%	16	9.2%	18.8%	62	16	25.8%
Hardy	18	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	11.1%	0.0%	14	7	50.0%
Harlan	2,774	102	3.7%	71	2.6%	0.0%	244	8.8%	36.1%	1038	192	18.5%
Harper	88	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	6.8%	33.3%	31	2	6.5%
Harpers Ferry	139	7	5.0%	5	3.6%	0.0%	21	15.1%	14.3%	109	18	16.5%
Harris	88	8	9.1%	6	6.8%	33.3%	8	9.1%	25.0%	46	4	8.7%
Hartford	507	14	2.8%	4	0.8%	50.0%	61	12.0%	47.5%	47	8	17.0%
Hartley	799	7	0.9%	5	0.6%	0.0%	67	8.4%	56.7%	407	107	26.3%
Hartwick	75	3	4.0%	3	4.0%	0.0%	3	4.0%	0.0%	18	2	11.1%
Harvey	146	7	4.8%	4	2.7%	50.0%	20	13.7%	45.0%	30	8	26.7%
Hastings	107	6	5.6%	2	1.9%	0.0%	15	14.0%	20.0%	24	2	8.3%
Havelock	100	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	2.0%	0.0%	64	22	34.4%
Haverhill	71	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	34	7	20.6%
Hawarden	1,345	26	1.9%	11	0.8%	9.1%	115	8.6%	37.4%	463	93	20.1%
Hawkeye	232	6	2.6%	2	0.9%	0.0%	18	7.8%	22.2%	118	22	18.6%
Hayesville	28	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	7.1%	100.0%	17	4	23.5%
Hazleton	419	28	6.7%	16	3.8%	0.0%	70	16.7%	21.4%	128	21	16.4%
Hedrick	457	11	2.4%	11	2.4%	0.0%	48	10.5%	41.7%	150	25	16.7%
Henderson	104	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	5.8%	50.0%	48	3	6.3%
Hepburn	13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	0	0.0%
Hiawatha	3,542	116	3.3%	61	1.7%	14.8%	119	3.4%	30.3%	330	37	11.2%
Hills	365	4	1.1%	4	1.1%	50.0%	22	6.0%	59.1%	110	20	18.2%
Hillsboro	63	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	12.7%	0.0%	42	19	45.2%
Hinton	386	7	1.8%	5	1.3%	40.0%	34	8.8%	58.8%	105	25	23.8%
Holland	112	3	2.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	4.5%	40.0%	64	13	20.3%
Holstein	715	25	3.5%	11	1.5%	18.2%	45	6.3%	53.3%	335	64	19.1%
Holy Cross	188	3	1.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	20	10.6%	100.0%	45	19	42.2%
Hopkinton	381	14	3.7%	8	2.1%	37.5%	51	13.4%	54.9%	141	37	26.2%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ars				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									obility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed	Number	Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Hornick	147	10	6.8%	8	5.4%	0.0%	25	17.0%	32.0%	50	6	12.0%
Hospers	310	7	2.3%	5	1.6%	40.0%	13	4.2%	76.9%	141	26	18.4%
Houghton	69	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	2.9%	100.0%	24	0	0.0%
Hubbard	436	10	2.3%	6	1.4%	0.0%	35	8.0%	14.3%	230	41	17.8%
Hudson	1,288	67	5.2%	23	1.8%	30.4%	84	6.5%	46.4%	224	23	10.3%
Hull	885	24	2.7%	6	0.7%	33.3%	48	5.4%	66.7%	326	66	20.2%
Humboldt	2,416	79	3.3%	54	2.2%	25.9%	163	6.7%	45.4%	1026	143	13.9%
Humeston	295	9	3.1%	5	1.7%	40.0%	42	14.2%	35.7%	164	48	29.3%
Huxley	1,278	19	1.5%	8	0.6%	50.0%	69	5.4%	75.4%	213	39	18.3%
Ida Grove	1,295	47	3.6%	12	0.9%	33.3%	99	7.6%	45.5%	452	84	18.6%
Imogene	32	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	6.3%	0.0%	18	2	11.1%
Independence	3,386	89	2.6%	55	1.6%	10.9%	286	8.4%	31.5%	965	176	18.2%
Indianola	7,171	147	2.0%	86	1.2%	33.7%	396	5.5%	45.5%	1396	286	20.5%
Inwood	412	22	5.3%	8	1.9%	0.0%	42	10.2%	57.1%	181	37	20.4%
Ionia	165	3	1.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	4.8%	25.0%	52	10	19.2%
Iowa City	46,432	664	1.4%	348	0.7%	44.8%	1,925	4.1%	59.9%	3710	582	15.7%
Iowa Falls	3,110	80	2.6%	40	1.3%	47.5%	251	8.1%	35.5%	1069	124	11.6%
Ireton	323	12	3.7%	4	1.2%	0.0%	25	7.7%	32.0%	109	12	11.0%
Irwin	197	12	6.1%	5	2.5%	0.0%	14	7.1%	35.7%	101	17	16.8%
Jackson Junction	73	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	8.2%	66.7%	4	0	0.0%
Jamaica	128	10	7.8%	8	6.3%	37.5%	17	13.3%	58.8%	43	8	18.6%
Janesville	528	21	4.0%	7	1.3%	28.6%	25	4.7%	40.0%	112	26	23.2%
Jefferson	2,277	57	2.5%	35	1.5%	40.0%	229	10.1%	46.7%	988	139	14.1%
Jesup	1,177	35	3.0%	13	1.1%	23.1%	83	7.1%	36.1%	312	37	11.9%
Jewell Junction	592	26	4.4%	8	1.4%	25.0%	28	4.7%	35.7%	213	44	20.7%
Johnston	3,015	75	2.5%	34	1.1%	17.6%	117	3.9%	49.6%	453	63	13.9%
Joice	138	7	5.1%	5	3.6%	0.0%	17	12.3%	23.5%	43	10	23.3%
Jolley	42	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	14.3%	66.7%	15	3	20.0%
Kalona	1,056	35	3.3%	17	1.6%	64.7%	75	7.1%	49.3%	350	61	17.4%
Kamrar	111	6	5.4%	4	3.6%	0.0%	12	10.8%	33.3%	41	1	2.4%
Kanawha	359	12	3.3%	5	1.4%	0.0%	36	10.0%	44.4%	222	37	16.7%
Kellerton	186	4	2.2%	2	1.1%	0.0%	19	10.2%	31.6%	46	10	21.7%
Kelley	144	9	6.3%	7	4.9%	42.9%	7	4.9%	42.9%	27	6	22.2%
Kellogg	393	19	4.8%	8	2.0%	0.0%	47	12.0%	29.8%	95	13	13.7%
Kensett	179	10	5.6%	4	2.2%	50.0%	24	13.4%	33.3%	78	21	26.9%
Kent	18	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	38.9%	28.6%	13	5	38.5%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or		<del></del>							nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis			self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Keokuk	7,170	272	3.8%	134	1.9%	29.1%	714	10.0%	32.2%	2077	473	22.8%
Keomah Village	64	2	3.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	6.3%	50.0%	30	0	0.0%
Keosauqua	505	13	2.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	58	11.5%	44.8%	238	54	22.7%
Keota	506	22	4.3%	4	0.8%	0.0%	54	10.7%	35.2%	251	42	16.7%
Keswick	165	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	3.6%	66.7%	59	13	22.0%
Keystone	247	2	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	12	4.9%	50.0%	143	9	6.3%
Kimballton	149	6	4.0%	4	2.7%	0.0%	10	6.7%	20.0%	89	17	19.1%
Kingsley	551	7	1.3%	1	0.2%	100.0%	43	7.8%	46.5%	283	48	17.0%
Kinross	53	2	3.8%	2	3.8%	0.0%	2	3.8%	0.0%	8	2	25.0%
Kirkman	60	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3	3	100.0%
Kirkville	103	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	14	13.6%	50.0%	22	2	9.1%
Kiron	150	2	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	4.0%	66.7%	77	11	14.3%
Klemme	328	16	4.9%	8	2.4%	0.0%	31	9.5%	25.8%	138	14	10.1%
Knierim	50	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	4.0%	0.0%	20	4	20.0%
Knoxville	4,467	134	3.0%	87	1.9%	8.0%	479	10.7%	38.2%	1275	258	20.2%
Lacona	196	2	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	14	7.1%	57.1%	71	12	16.9%
Ladora	177	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	0.0%	17	9.6%	64.7%	51	8	15.7%
Lake City	906	48	5.3%	31	3.4%	0.0%	97	10.7%	17.5%	456	95	20.8%
Lake Mills	1,139	27	2.4%	13	1.1%	0.0%	57	5.0%	45.6%	450	58	12.9%
Lake Park	519	10	1.9%	5	1.0%	100.0%	47	9.1%	46.8%	237	32	13.5%
Lakeside	316	7	2.2%	5	1.6%	0.0%	14	4.4%	64.3%	57	10	17.5%
Lake View	699	9	1.3%	6	0.9%	0.0%	51	7.3%	45.1%	353	66	18.7%
Lakota	152	10	6.6%	2	1.3%	0.0%	8	5.3%	12.5%	90	13	14.4%
Lambs Grove	136	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	14	10.3%	57.1%	31	4	12.9%
Lamoni	1,542	33	2.1%	13	0.8%	0.0%	57	3.7%	19.3%	376	86	22.9%
Lamont	244	8	3.3%	4	1.6%	50.0%	15	6.1%	40.0%	129	18	14.0%
La Motte	122	5	4.1%	2	1.6%	100.0%	6	4.9%	100.0%	39	6	15.4%
Lanesboro	83	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	100.0%	17	20.5%	41.2%	50	9	18.0%
Lansing	528	16	3.0%	11	2.1%	45.5%	66	12.5%	50.0%	234	28	12.0%
La Porte City	1,183	28	2.4%	23	1.9%	21.7%	102	8.6%	40.2%	427	76	17.8%
Larchwood	390	10	2.6%	4	1.0%	100.0%	19	4.9%	63.2%	143	38	26.6%
Larrabee	93	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	13	14.0%	84.6%	36	12	33.3%
Latimer	210	11	5.2%	4	1.9%	0.0%	15	7.1%	13.3%	136	25	18.4%
Laurel	181	5	2.8%	5	2.8%	0.0%	13	7.2%	30.8%	42	2	4.8%
Laurens	829	4	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	63	7.6%	65.1%	342	78	22.8%
Lawler	278	14	5.0%	6	2.2%	66.7%	24	8.6%	62.5%	103	31	30.1%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or		<del></del>							nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Lawton	271	9	3.3%	2	0.7%	0.0%	10	3.7%	40.0%	107	25	23.4%
Le Claire	1,697	21	1.2%	21	1.2%	0.0%	95	5.6%	24.2%	269	63	23.4%
Ledyard	79	8	10.1%	2	2.5%	0.0%	8	10.1%	50.0%	52	11	21.2%
Le Grand	556	13	2.3%	11	2.0%	18.2%	29	5.2%	48.3%	98	16	16.3%
Lehigh	313	17	5.4%	7	2.2%	0.0%	57	18.2%	35.1%	114	20	17.5%
Leighton	67	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	40	5	12.5%
Leland	182	5	2.7%	1	0.5%	0.0%	19	10.4%	47.4%	36	8	22.2%
Le Mars	4,858	147	3.0%	50	1.0%	40.0%	266	5.5%	52.6%	1328	230	17.3%
Lenox	632	8	1.3%	6	0.9%	66.7%	50	7.9%	46.0%	326	52	16.0%
Leon	967	52	5.4%	25	2.6%	8.0%	133	13.8%	13.5%	504	125	24.8%
Le Roy	29	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	24.1%	0.0%	2	2	100.0%
Lester	143	4	2.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	3.5%	100.0%	47	2	4.3%
Letts	249	10	4.0%	10	4.0%	0.0%	35	14.1%	34.3%	51	12	23.5%
Lewis	274	10	3.6%	10	3.6%	30.0%	26	9.5%	53.8%	77	15	19.5%
Libertyville	141	6	4.3%	6	4.3%	0.0%	15	10.6%	26.7%	39	9	23.1%
Lidderdale	116	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	100.0%	8	6.9%	50.0%	35	15	42.9%
Lime Springs	216	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	16	7.4%	31.3%	141	24	17.0%
Lincoln	105	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	12	11.4%	41.7%	52	8	15.4%
Linden	110	2	1.8%	2	1.8%	0.0%	9	8.2%	22.2%	33	10	30.3%
Lineville	137	8	5.8%	6	4.4%	0.0%	29	21.2%	0.0%	117	22	18.8%
Linn Grove	110	10	9.1%	3	2.7%	0.0%	10	9.1%	30.0%	22	4	18.2%
Lisbon	886	28	3.2%	18	2.0%	11.1%	44	5.0%	34.1%	187	32	17.1%
Liscomb	173	6	3.5%	6	3.5%	0.0%	10	5.8%	20.0%	44	2	4.5%
Littleport	60	7	11.7%	3	5.0%	0.0%	12	20.0%	16.7%	21	2	9.5%
Little Rock	275	13	4.7%	8	2.9%	0.0%	18	6.5%	22.2%	137	20	14.6%
Little Sioux	110	7	6.4%	5	4.5%	40.0%	23	20.9%	21.7%	28	5	17.9%
Livermore	212	4	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	17	8.0%	11.8%	130	21	16.2%
Lockridge	184	9	4.9%	2	1.1%	0.0%	18	9.8%	27.8%	33	13	39.4%
Logan	695	46	6.6%	26	3.7%	92.3%	75	10.8%	49.3%	332	73	22.0%
Lohrville	237	4	1.7%	2	0.8%	0.0%	21	8.9%	14.3%	129	15	11.6%
Lone Rock	99	4	4.0%	2	2.0%	0.0%	2	2.0%	0.0%	50	2	4.0%
Lone Tree	587	11	1.9%	5	0.9%	40.0%	36	6.1%	33.3%	159	35	22.0%
Long Grove	378	6	1.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	1.9%	85.7%	51	13	25.5%
Lorimor	184	9	4.9%	4	2.2%	0.0%	20	10.9%	25.0%	106	18	17.0%
Lost Nation	250	20	8.0%	10	4.0%	20.0%	24	9.6%	37.5%	126	24	19.0%
Lovilia	322	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	32	9.9%	25.0%	94	14	14.9%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ars				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin		With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent		Total	Number	Percent
Lowden	364	11	3.0%	4	1.1%	100.0%	31	8.5%	67.7%	227	46	20.3%
Low Moor	153	4	2.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	9	5.9%	44.4%	33	2	6.1%
Luana	118	4	3.4%	2	1.7%	0.0%	9	7.6%	55.6%	52	4	7.7%
Lucas	169	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	13	7.7%	38.5%	31	2	6.5%
Luther	109	3	2.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	11	10.1%	45.5%	16	2	12.5%
Lu Verne	209	7	3.3%	3	1.4%	100.0%	35	16.7%	57.1%	88	14	15.9%
Luxemburg	138	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	3.6%	100.0%	36	11	30.6%
Luzerne	71	3	4.2%	3	4.2%	0.0%	13	18.3%	30.8%	28	4	14.3%
Lynnville	213	4	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	4.7%	60.0%	66	12	18.2%
Lytton	187	3	1.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	16	8.6%	75.0%	74	9	12.2%
McCallsburg	168	4	2.4%	4	2.4%	0.0%	16	9.5%	25.0%	45	8	17.8%
McCausland	200	3	1.5%	3	1.5%	0.0%	9	4.5%	0.0%	37	6	16.2%
McClelland	81	3	3.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	17	5	29.4%
Macedonia	149	5	3.4%	2	1.3%	0.0%	16	10.7%	68.8%	43	4	9.3%
McGregor	436	15	3.4%	5	1.1%	0.0%	25	5.7%	16.0%	149	22	14.8%
McIntire	106	4	3.8%	2	1.9%	0.0%	10	9.4%	0.0%	32	8	25.0%
Macksburg	67	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	7.5%	40.0%	25	6	24.0%
Madrid	1,322	23	1.7%	17	1.3%	0.0%	117	8.9%	41.9%	395	73	18.5%
Magnolia	139	8	5.8%	2	1.4%	0.0%	10	7.2%	60.0%	23	10	43.5%
Malcom	277	12	4.3%	5	1.8%	0.0%	19	6.9%	63.2%	35	3	8.6%
Mallard	194	8	4.1%	2	1.0%	0.0%	11	5.7%	18.2%	62	12	19.4%
Maloy	20	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	0	0.0%
Malvern	660	56	8.5%	39	5.9%	23.1%	98	14.8%	37.8%	210	30	14.3%
Manchester	2,785	53	1.9%	22	0.8%	40.9%	204	7.3%	31.4%	892	158	17.7%
Manilla	459	14	3.1%	2	0.4%	0.0%	46	10.0%	30.4%	176	20	11.4%
Manly	712	25	3.5%	15	2.1%	0.0%	70	9.8%	22.9%	292	66	22.6%
Manning	708	24	3.4%	6	0.8%	100.0%	47	6.6%	61.7%	398	86	21.6%
Manson	904	37	4.1%	15	1.7%	13.3%	84	9.3%	45.2%	477	114	23.9%
Mapleton	615	22	3.6%	18	2.9%	50.0%	82	13.3%	39.0%	388	67	17.3%
Maquoketa	3,350	141	4.2%	62	1.9%	16.1%	274	8.2%	33.2%	1193	246	20.6%
Marathon	161	7	4.3%	5	3.1%	0.0%	26	16.1%	46.2%	78	12	15.4%
Marble Rock	193	8	4.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	11	5.7%	36.4%	89	22	24.7%
Marcus	636	13	2.0%	7	1.1%	28.6%	31	4.9%	25.8%	246	42	17.1%
Marengo	1,255	39	3.1%	25	2.0%	16.0%	91	7.3%	22.0%	441	91	20.6%
Marion	13,358	400	3.0%	303	2.3%	41.6%	841	6.3%	51.5%	1825	326	17.9%
Marne	71	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	7.0%	60.0%	32	7	21.9%

				10	6 to 64 year	ars				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin		With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent		Total	Number	Percent
Marquette	294	6	2.0%	6	2.0%	0.0%	41	13.9%	29.3%	64	16	25.0%
Marshalltown	14,815	472	3.2%	222	1.5%	27.9%	1,192	8.0%	50.1%	3722	635	17.1%
Martelle	159	2	1.3%	2	1.3%	0.0%	12	7.5%	50.0%	52	4	7.7%
Martensdale	314	4	1.3%	4	1.3%	0.0%	18	5.7%	44.4%	33	9	27.3%
Martinsburg	103	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0.0%	15	14.6%	20.0%	25	3	12.0%
Marysville	40	4	10.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	10.0%	0.0%	5	4	80.0%
Mason City	17,509	649	3.7%	236	1.3%	21.6%	1,659	9.5%	41.3%	4574	793	17.3%
Masonville	72	3	4.2%	2	2.8%	0.0%	8	11.1%	62.5%	17	2	11.8%
Massena	199	3	1.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	11	5.5%	18.2%	94	21	22.3%
Matlock	63	6	9.5%	6	9.5%	0.0%	7	11.1%	0.0%	10	0	0.0%
Maurice	132	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	100.0%	12	9.1%	25.0%	46	2	4.3%
Maxwell	520	15	2.9%	8	1.5%	25.0%	42	8.1%	38.1%	123	32	26.0%
Maynard	270	16	5.9%	2	0.7%	100.0%	4	1.5%	50.0%	120	24	20.0%
Maysville	108	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	0	0.0%
Mechanicsville	598	11	1.8%	7	1.2%	28.6%	41	6.9%	41.5%	159	16	10.1%
Mediapolis	899	12	1.3%	9	1.0%	33.3%	69	7.7%	55.1%	279	61	21.9%
Melbourne	364	13	3.6%	8	2.2%	0.0%	23	6.3%	8.7%	149	16	10.7%
Melcher-Dallas	734	45	6.1%	23	3.1%	21.7%	133	18.1%	42.9%	210	42	20.0%
Melrose	77	4	5.2%	4	5.2%	0.0%	17	22.1%	23.5%	30	4	13.3%
Melvin	120	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	0.0%	13	10.8%	61.5%	49	13	26.5%
Menlo	209	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	24	11.5%	75.0%	53	8	15.1%
Meriden	101	10	9.9%	5	5.0%	40.0%	11	10.9%	54.5%	36	14	38.9%
Merrill	448	22	4.9%	10	2.2%	20.0%	39	8.7%	43.6%	90	13	14.4%
Meservey	153	12	7.8%	5	3.3%	60.0%	24	15.7%	54.2%	81	24	29.6%
Middletown	224	5	2.2%	3	1.3%	100.0%	32	14.3%	62.5%	51	9	17.6%
Miles	220	3	1.4%	3	1.4%	0.0%	11	5.0%	27.3%	77	17	22.1%
Milford	1,211	40	3.3%	15	1.2%	33.3%	70	5.8%	48.6%	380	83	21.8%
Millersburg	114	7	6.1%	7	6.1%	85.7%	7	6.1%	28.6%	32	9	28.1%
Millerton	31	3	9.7%	3	9.7%	66.7%	5	16.1%	40.0%	12	4	33.3%
Millville	18	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	#DIV/0!
Milo	490	8	1.6%	4	0.8%	0.0%	32	6.5%	37.5%	135	24	17.8%
Milton	237	18	7.6%	15	6.3%	26.7%	41	17.3%	14.6%	141	40	28.4%
Minburn	193	11	5.7%	6	3.1%	0.0%	18	9.3%	27.8%	70	15	21.4%
Minden	314	13	4.1%	4	1.3%	0.0%	22	7.0%	31.8%	84	24	28.6%
Mingo	173	2	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	13	7.5%	69.2%	25	0	0.0%
Missouri Valley	1,639	83	5.1%	16	1.0%	56.3%	172	10.5%	47.7%	494	120	24.3%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ars					55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or		<u> </u>							nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent		Total	Number	Percent
Mitchell	119	10	8.4%	5	4.2%	0.0%	30	25.2%	26.7%	22	6	27.3%
Mitchellville	872	20	2.3%	3	0.3%	100.0%	54	6.2%	64.8%	190	44	23.2%
Modale	150	9	6.0%	4	2.7%	0.0%	24	16.0%	8.3%	79	20	25.3%
Mondamin	234	12	5.1%	3	1.3%	0.0%	16	6.8%	68.8%	70	15	21.4%
Moneta	19	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	26.3%	100.0%	5	5	100.0%
Monmouth	97	27	27.8%	17	17.5%	0.0%	27	27.8%	22.2%	19	0	0.0%
Monona	796	27	3.4%	16	2.0%	18.8%	68	8.5%	38.2%	362	72	19.9%
Monroe	1,036	25	2.4%	16	1.5%	37.5%	85	8.2%	38.8%	299	44	14.7%
Montezuma	887	10	1.1%	6	0.7%	33.3%	88	9.9%	48.9%	289	47	16.3%
Monticello	1,947	62	3.2%	42	2.2%	45.2%	223	11.5%	45.3%	702	196	27.9%
Montour	200	15	7.5%	11	5.5%	45.5%	20	10.0%	30.0%	39	6	15.4%
Montrose	545	5	0.9%	5	0.9%	0.0%	49	9.0%	61.2%	120	24	20.0%
Moorhead	125	2	1.6%	2	1.6%	0.0%	9	7.2%	55.6%	74	12	16.2%
Moorland	108	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	49	6	12.2%
Moravia	365	11	3.0%	7	1.9%	0.0%	28	7.7%	35.7%	156	25	16.0%
Morley	51	4	7.8%	2	3.9%	0.0%	7	13.7%	42.9%	12	2	16.7%
Morning Sun	483	22	4.6%	9	1.9%	22.2%	56	11.6%	39.3%	132	26	19.7%
Morrison	76	2	2.6%	2	2.6%	0.0%	11	14.5%	18.2%	15	2	13.3%
Moulton	314	18	5.7%	8	2.5%	0.0%	50	15.9%	24.0%	183	56	30.6%
Mount Auburn	79	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	6.3%	20.0%	23	2	8.7%
Mount Ayr	890	39	4.4%	17	1.9%	0.0%	71	8.0%	43.7%	463	80	17.3%
Mount Pleasant	4,293	97	2.3%	60	1.4%	21.7%	342	8.0%	57.3%	1194	254	21.3%
Mount Sterling	24	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	0.0%	4	16.7%	25.0%	5	2	40.0%
Mount Union	80	3	3.8%	2	2.5%	0.0%	4	5.0%	0.0%	18	0	0.0%
Mount Vernon	2,593	32	1.2%	12	0.5%	83.3%	109	4.2%	58.7%	329	38	11.6%
Moville	740	21	2.8%	4	0.5%	0.0%	46	6.2%	26.1%	253	39	15.4%
Murray	384	18	4.7%	6	1.6%	0.0%	45	11.7%	40.0%	126	30	23.8%
Muscatine	13,854	497	3.6%	311	2.2%	36.0%	1,200	8.7%	42.7%	3061	624	20.4%
Mystic	305	15	4.9%	11	3.6%	0.0%	70	23.0%	4.3%	83	12	14.5%
Nashua	828	22	2.7%	11	1.3%	0.0%	82	9.9%	22.0%	339	47	13.9%
Nemaha	44	5	11.4%	2	4.5%	0.0%	7	15.9%	42.9%	37	5	13.5%
Neola	546	25	4.6%	_ 17	3.1%	0.0%	55	10.1%	36.4%	116	31	26.7%
Nevada	3,664	99	2.7%	32	0.9%	15.6%	224	6.1%	50.0%	811	176	21.7%
New Albin	304	5	1.6%	3	1.0%	0.0%	21	6.9%	47.6%	142	20	14.1%
Newell	474	12	2.5%	4	0.8%	50.0%	51	10.8%	52.9%	229	41	17.9%
Newhall	498	15	3.0%	6	1.2%	0.0%	22	4.4%	36.4%	162	39	24.1%

				10	6 to 64 year	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
New Hampton	1,996	87	4.4%	23	1.2%	65.2%	106	5.3%	50.0%	692	150	21.7%
New Hartford	428	34	7.9%	15	3.5%	13.3%	46	10.7%	26.1%	97	10	10.3%
New Liberty	72	18	25.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	6.9%	60.0%	20	10	50.0%
New London	1,149	31	2.7%	13	1.1%	30.8%	107	9.3%	45.8%	291	68	23.4%
New Market	252	4	1.6%	2	0.8%	100.0%	13	5.2%	46.2%	127	17	13.4%
New Providence	116	8	6.9%	6	5.2%	50.0%	14	12.1%	57.1%	81	10	12.3%
New Sharon	592	22	3.7%	10	1.7%	40.0%	57	9.6%	52.6%	275	64	23.3%
Newton	8,995	233	2.6%	146	1.6%	3.4%	720	8.0%	46.8%	2399	475	19.8%
New Vienna	210	12	5.7%	6	2.9%	66.7%	9	4.3%	44.4%	74	11	14.9%
New Virginia	232	10	4.3%	7	3.0%	0.0%	16	6.9%	12.5%	94	14	14.9%
Nichols	212	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	11	5.2%	18.2%	46	9	19.6%
Nodaway	89	4	4.5%	2	2.2%	100.0%	4	4.5%	50.0%	24	11	45.8%
Nora Springs	796	18	2.3%	11	1.4%	18.2%	51	6.4%	25.5%	242	44	18.2%
Northboro	27	7	25.9%	7	25.9%	57.1%	9	33.3%	44.4%	32	2	6.3%
North Buena Vista	100	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	11	11.0%	27.3%	6	0	0.0%
North English	429	16	3.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	53	12.4%	41.5%	273	53	19.4%
North Liberty	1,956	58	3.0%	33	1.7%	24.2%	167	8.5%	62.3%	102	23	22.5%
North Washington	62	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	1.6%	100.0%	17	4	23.5%
Northwood	1,043	42	4.0%	11	1.1%	0.0%	83	8.0%	34.9%	470	88	18.7%
Norwalk	3,509	36	1.0%	19	0.5%	0.0%	152	4.3%	50.0%	290	63	21.7%
Norway	352	6	1.7%	2	0.6%	0.0%	18	5.1%	50.0%	76	12	15.8%
Numa	90	4	4.4%	4	4.4%	0.0%	9	10.0%	0.0%	21	10	47.6%
Oakland	766	28	3.7%	12	1.6%	16.7%	63	8.2%	22.2%	319	54	16.9%
Oakland Acres	95	3	3.2%	3	3.2%	0.0%	8	8.4%	37.5%	19	2	10.5%
Oakville	266	19	7.1%	15	5.6%	0.0%	36	13.5%	27.8%	82	15	18.3%
Ocheyedan	330	6	1.8%	2	0.6%	0.0%	33	10.0%	66.7%	130	30	23.1%
Odebolt	585	22	3.8%	11	1.9%	63.6%	37	6.3%	29.7%	244	44	18.0%
Oelwein	3,528	107	3.0%	70	2.0%	35.7%	372	10.5%	34.4%	1301	277	21.3%
Ogden	1,032	22	2.1%	8	0.8%	12.5%	61	5.9%	50.8%	415	64	15.4%
Okoboji	458	20	4.4%	11	2.4%	18.2%	48	10.5%	39.6%	171	24	14.0%
Olds	135	3	2.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	4.4%	50.0%	33	3	9.1%
Olin	403	12	3.0%	2	0.5%	0.0%	30	7.4%	30.0%	144	17	11.8%
Ollie	109	7	6.4%	3	2.8%	0.0%	12	11.0%	33.3%	64	14	21.9%
Onawa	1,529	53	3.5%	35	2.3%	0.0%	204	13.3%	36.3%	691	138	20.0%
Oneida	25	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	8.0%	0.0%	11	3	27.3%
Onslow	128	8	6.3%	6	4.7%	0.0%	_ 15	11.7%	46.7%	41	6	14.6%

				10	6 to 64 year	ırs				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or		, , , , ,							nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed	Number	Percent		Total	Number	Percent
Orange City	3,000	93	3.1%	26	0.9%	0.0%	146	4.9%	71.2%	692	122	17.6%
Orchard	59	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	13.6%	75.0%	15	2	13.3%
Orient	183	6	3.3%	4	2.2%	50.0%	22	12.0%	54.5%	94	25	26.6%
Orleans	312	6	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	24	7.7%	58.3%	160	15	9.4%
Osage	1,833	80	4.4%	29	1.6%	27.6%	139	7.6%	42.4%	852	145	17.0%
Osceola	2,311	97	4.2%	55	2.4%	21.8%	224	9.7%	53.6%	911	159	17.5%
Oskaloosa	6,112	234	3.8%	125	2.0%	13.6%	731	12.0%	36.5%	1967	333	16.9%
Ossian	415	10	2.4%	4	1.0%	0.0%	29	7.0%	6.9%	160	23	14.4%
Osterdock	22	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	2	50.0%
Otho	324	19	5.9%	11	3.4%	0.0%	31	9.6%	12.9%	62	15	24.2%
Oto	51	3	5.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	7.8%	0.0%	31	4	12.9%
Ottosen	41	2	4.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	13	31.7%	0.0%	19	7	36.8%
Ottumwa	14,399	560	3.9%	369	2.6%	23.0%	1,858	12.9%	30.9%	4740	1,037	21.9%
Owasa	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	11.1%	100.0%	4	1	25.0%
Oxford	424	17	4.0%	10	2.4%	20.0%	35	8.3%	57.1%	91	23	25.3%
Oxford Junction	289	13	4.5%	11	3.8%	0.0%	47	16.3%	21.3%	160	30	18.8%
Oyens	64	2	3.1%	2	3.1%	0.0%	6	9.4%	0.0%	21	0	0.0%
Pacific Junction	325	22	6.8%	14	4.3%	7.1%	39	12.0%	38.5%	59	14	23.7%
Packwood	115	6	5.2%	4	3.5%	0.0%	8	7.0%	25.0%	49	13	26.5%
Palmer	105	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	5.7%	0.0%	74	10	13.5%
Palo	336	7	2.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	18	5.4%	66.7%	42	10	23.8%
Panama	95	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	1.1%	100.0%	71	12	16.9%
Panora	546	12	2.2%	9	1.6%	33.3%	51	9.3%	31.4%	227	32	14.1%
Panorama Park	96	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	8.3%	0.0%	22	8	36.4%
Parkersburg	986	24	2.4%	14	1.4%	0.0%	58	5.9%	25.9%	424	99	23.3%
Park View CDP	1,380	37	2.7%	19	1.4%	0.0%	75	5.4%	32.0%	51	8	15.7%
Parnell	129	4	3.1%	4	3.1%	0.0%	8	6.2%	0.0%	33	2	6.1%
Paton	136	7	5.1%	7	5.1%	0.0%	13	9.6%	30.8%	90	14	15.6%
Patterson	107	10	9.3%	4	3.7%	50.0%	11	10.3%	54.5%	17	10	58.8%
Paullina	571	9	1.6%	7	1.2%	28.6%	45	7.9%	42.2%	303	56	18.5%
Pella	5,707	139	2.4%	61	1.1%	47.5%	322	5.6%	56.8%	1323	203	15.3%
Peosta	82	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	6.1%	20.0%	10	0	0.0%
Perry	3,717	105	2.8%	53	1.4%	0.0%	238	6.4%	34.9%	1144	282	24.7%
Persia	168	15	8.9%	8	4.8%	0.0%	27	16.1%	18.5%	69	21	30.4%
Peterson	204	4	2.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	4.9%	20.0%	105	26	24.8%
Pierson	163	4	2.5%	4	2.5%	0.0%	20	12.3%	35.0%	73	14	19.2%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Pilot Mound	113	2	1.8%	2	1.8%	0.0%	17	15.0%	17.6%	45	7	15.6%
Pioneer	20	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	0.0%	2	10.0%	0.0%	5	0	0.0%
Pisgah	137	8	5.8%	8	5.8%	25.0%	20	14.6%	40.0%	90	12	13.3%
Plainfield	248	4	1.6%	4	1.6%	0.0%	14	5.6%	57.1%	94	15	16.0%
Plano	50	10	20.0%	10	20.0%	0.0%	16	32.0%	0.0%	16	3	18.8%
Pleasant Hill	2,487	44	1.8%	20	0.8%	55.0%	164	6.6%	59.1%	197	19	9.6%
Pleasanton	16	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	0.0%	2	12.5%	0.0%	17	7	41.2%
Pleasant Plain	72	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	8.3%	66.7%	9	0	0.0%
Pleasantville	884	36	4.1%	16	1.8%	12.5%	84	9.5%	50.0%	264	71	26.9%
Plover	58	3	5.2%	2	3.4%	100.0%	7	12.1%	28.6%	19	4	21.1%
Plymouth	262	13	5.0%	7	2.7%	0.0%	28	10.7%	25.0%	56	13	23.2%
Pocahontas	1,089	36	3.3%	20	1.8%	10.0%	81	7.4%	42.0%	480	104	21.7%
Polk City	1,131	28	2.5%	9	0.8%	22.2%	70	6.2%	68.6%	114	24	21.1%
Pomeroy	320	17	5.3%	6	1.9%	0.0%	25	7.8%	28.0%	225	48	21.3%
Popejoy	42	2	4.8%	2	4.8%	0.0%	11	26.2%	9.1%	33	6	18.2%
Portsmouth	109	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	6.4%	57.1%	33	6	18.2%
Postville	761	29	3.8%	12	1.6%	41.7%	62	8.1%	46.8%	355	64	18.0%
Prairieburg	120	11	9.2%	11	9.2%	18.2%	13	10.8%	15.4%	25	4	16.0%
Prairie City	701	25	3.6%	20	2.9%	10.0%	62	8.8%	27.4%	248	62	25.0%
Prescott	158	3	1.9%	3	1.9%	100.0%	19	12.0%	36.8%	71	7	9.9%
Preston	565	24	4.2%	18	3.2%	0.0%	35	6.2%	8.6%	206	52	25.2%
Primghar	460	24	5.2%	11	2.4%	0.0%	50	10.9%	46.0%	242	47	19.4%
Princeton	487	38	7.8%	6	1.2%	0.0%	23	4.7%	30.4%	102	2	2.0%
Promise City	68	8	11.8%	4	5.9%	0.0%	11	16.2%	18.2%	33	6	18.2%
Protivin	145	8	5.5%	4	2.8%	50.0%	14	9.7%	28.6%	119	27	22.7%
Pulaski	119	8	6.7%	6	5.0%	33.3%	21	17.6%	38.1%	39	12	30.8%
Quasqueton	353	89	25.2%	12	3.4%	25.0%	24	6.8%	20.8%	100	27	27.0%
Quimby	181	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	17	9.4%	41.2%	80	10	12.5%
Radcliffe	272	_ 17	6.3%	11	4.0%	9.1%	31	11.4%	22.6%	153	31	20.3%
Rake	111	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	6.3%	0.0%	82	18	22.0%
Ralston	63	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	7.9%	60.0%	9	3	33.3%
Randalia	38	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3	7.9%	100.0%	20	5	25.0%
Randall	115	9	7.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	3	2.6%	33.3%	14	2	14.3%
Randolph	135	6	4.4%	6	4.4%	0.0%	16	11.9%	12.5%	47	8	17.0%
Rathbun	42	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	9.5%	0.0%	26	2	7.7%
Raymond	387	26	6.7%	13	3.4%	15.4%	36	9.3%	47.2%	60	14	23.3%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis			self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Readlyn	443	28	6.3%	13	2.9%	46.2%	21	4.7%	71.4%	154	20	13.0%
Reasnor	133	4	3.0%	4	3.0%	0.0%	17	12.8%	11.8%	20	4	20.0%
Redding	65	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	15	23.1%	73.3%	18	4	22.2%
Redfield	548	13	2.4%	7	1.3%	42.9%	67	12.2%	50.7%	138	24	17.4%
Red Oak	3,413	155	4.5%	48	1.4%	33.3%	289	8.5%	47.1%	1345	285	21.2%
Reinbeck	885	24	2.7%	17	1.9%	11.8%	64	7.2%	45.3%	363	45	12.4%
Rembrandt	135	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	13	9.6%	30.8%	35	5	14.3%
Remsen	733	29	4.0%	11	1.5%	0.0%	39	5.3%	64.1%	355	68	19.2%
Renwick	145	9	6.2%	2	1.4%	0.0%	17	11.7%	23.5%	73	7	9.6%
Rhodes	161	2	1.2%	2	1.2%	0.0%	13	8.1%	53.8%	40	14	35.0%
Riceville	349	9	2.6%	4	1.1%	0.0%	26	7.4%	38.5%	269	63	23.4%
Richland	296	17	5.7%	9	3.0%	0.0%	31	10.5%	32.3%	123	20	16.3%
Rickardsville	103	6	5.8%	2	1.9%	100.0%	4	3.9%	0.0%	30	0	0.0%
Ricketts	58	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	6.9%	50.0%	28	4	14.3%
Ridgeway	173	4	2.3%	4	2.3%	0.0%	9	5.2%	55.6%	66	15	22.7%
Rinard	44	2	4.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	13.6%	66.7%	8	0	0.0%
Ringsted	244	2	0.8%	2	0.8%	0.0%	12	4.9%	33.3%	131	23	17.6%
Rippey	162	5	3.1%	2	1.2%	0.0%	25	15.4%	28.0%	58	19	32.8%
Riverdale	287	14	4.9%	7	2.4%	42.9%	15	5.2%	53.3%	71	13	18.3%
Riverside	483	5	1.0%	3	0.6%	0.0%	31	6.4%	67.7%	106	10	9.4%
Riverton	204	11	5.4%	4	2.0%	0.0%	35	17.2%	28.6%	71	12	16.9%
Robins	568	5	0.9%	5	0.9%	0.0%	29	5.1%	58.6%	62	10	16.1%
Rock Falls	78	3	3.8%	3	3.8%	0.0%	10	12.8%	40.0%	22	8	36.4%
Rockford	499	17	3.4%	4	0.8%	50.0%	52	10.4%	50.0%	159	18	11.3%
Rock Rapids	1,379	50	3.6%	26	1.9%	15.4%	110	8.0%	42.7%	548	104	19.0%
Rock Valley	1,308	65	5.0%	19	1.5%	0.0%	181	13.8%	58.6%	467	92	19.7%
Rockwell	521	17	3.3%	7	1.3%	0.0%	42	8.1%	47.6%	182	39	21.4%
Rockwell City	1,028	42	4.1%	15	1.5%	26.7%	89	8.7%	30.3%	497	76	15.3%
Rodman	23	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	0	0.0%
Rodney	41	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	9.8%	50.0%	19	7	36.8%
Roland	599	20	3.3%	10	1.7%	0.0%	39	6.5%	48.7%	152	24	15.8%
Rolfe	373	25	6.7%	16	4.3%	0.0%	55	14.7%	30.9%	156	20	12.8%
Rome	86	2	2.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	12	14.0%	83.3%	9	0	0.0%
Rose Hill	100	5	5.0%	5	5.0%	0.0%	21	21.0%	23.8%	15	2	13.3%
Rossie	45	2	4.4%	2	4.4%	0.0%	10	22.2%	20.0%	15	5	33.3%
Rowan	119	3	2.5%	1	0.8%	0.0%	9	7.6%	66.7%	58	16	27.6%

				10	6 to 64 year	ars				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									obility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed	Number	Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Rowley	140	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	0.0%	5	3.6%	60.0%	50	6	12.0%
Royal	239	2	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	12	5.0%	0.0%	104	17	16.3%
Rudd	249	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	16	6.4%	43.8%	68	18	26.5%
Runnells	192	7	3.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	14	7.3%	50.0%	58	13	22.4%
Russell	253	10	4.0%	6	2.4%	0.0%	36	14.2%	22.2%	162	20	12.3%
Ruthven	378	24	6.3%	7	1.9%	0.0%	48	12.7%	35.4%	179	21	11.7%
Rutland	94	4	4.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	5.3%	60.0%	16	2	12.5%
Ryan	217	2	0.9%	2	0.9%	0.0%	11	5.1%	18.2%	60	11	18.3%
Sabula	418	10	2.4%	8	1.9%	25.0%	34	8.1%	29.4%	146	16	11.0%
Sac City	1,296	65	5.0%	32	2.5%	31.3%	149	11.5%	37.6%	609	92	15.1%
Sageville	167	8	4.8%	8	4.8%	0.0%	12	7.2%	0.0%	37	1	2.7%
St. Ansgar	492	22	4.5%	5	1.0%	0.0%	36	7.3%	52.8%	296	59	19.9%
St. Anthony	58	7	12.1%	2	3.4%	0.0%	12	20.7%	50.0%	10	0	0.0%
St. Charles	304	2	0.7%	2	0.7%	0.0%	21	6.9%	81.0%	86	25	29.1%
St. Donatus	91	3	3.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	5.5%	40.0%	13	2	15.4%
St. Lucas	91	2	2.2%	2	2.2%	100.0%	6	6.6%	100.0%	86	33	38.4%
St. Marys	55	4	7.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	3.6%	100.0%	10	0	0.0%
St. Olaf	67	8	11.9%	6	9.0%	33.3%	14	20.9%	57.1%	13	4	30.8%
St. Paul	81	3	3.7%	3	3.7%	100.0%	3	3.7%	100.0%	14	2	14.3%
Salem	258	15	5.8%	5	1.9%	0.0%	29	11.2%	17.2%	94	13	13.8%
Salix	208	4	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	20	9.6%	45.0%	67	17	25.4%
Sanborn	693	28	4.0%	12	1.7%	33.3%	51	7.4%	54.9%	289	49	17.0%
Sandyville	39	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0.0%	7	17.9%	57.1%	2	2	100.0%
Saylorville CDP	1,822	50	2.7%	42	2.3%	71.4%	115	6.3%	75.7%	302	22	7.3%
Scarville	49	3	6.1%	2	4.1%	0.0%	5	10.2%	0.0%	13	0	0.0%
Schaller	442	15	3.4%	2	0.5%	0.0%	36	8.1%	41.7%	133	30	22.6%
Schleswig	442	23	5.2%	9	2.0%	22.2%	41	9.3%	46.3%	250	42	16.8%
Scranton	288	11	3.8%	2	0.7%	0.0%	30	10.4%	20.0%	184	28	15.2%
Searsboro	94	6	6.4%	6	6.4%	0.0%	25	26.6%	24.0%	34	0	0.0%
Sergeant Bluff	1,639	92	5.6%	29	1.8%	100.0%	138	8.4%	74.6%	224	70	31.3%
Seymour	425	32	7.5%	23	5.4%	17.4%	58	13.6%	32.8%	222	66	29.7%
Shambaugh	115	8	7.0%	5	4.3%	0.0%	14	12.2%	35.7%	34	3	8.8%
Shannon City	65	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	15.4%	70.0%	6	0	0.0%
Sharpsburg	57	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	17.5%	0.0%	43	12	27.9%
Sheffield	641	24	3.7%	4	0.6%	0.0%	29	4.5%	17.2%	223	45	20.2%
Shelby	324	11	3.4%	7	2.2%	0.0%	18	5.6%	16.7%	131	31	23.7%

				10	6 to 64 year	ırs				6	55 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis	ability		self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Sheldahl	200	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	5.0%	60.0%	36	10	27.8%
Sheldon	2,844	177	6.2%	137	4.8%	68.6%	264	9.3%	67.8%	825	177	21.5%
Shell Rock	763	29	3.8%	17	2.2%	23.5%	57	7.5%	47.4%	219	16	7.3%
Shellsburg	462	9	1.9%	7	1.5%	0.0%	41	8.9%	39.0%	102	14	13.7%
Shenandoah	3,074	152	4.9%	122	4.0%	27.9%	391	12.7%	33.5%	1203	216	18.0%
Sherrill	97	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	5.2%	100.0%	13	6	46.2%
Shueyville	147	6	4.1%	2	1.4%	0.0%	8	5.4%	50.0%	27	1	3.7%
Sibley	1,476	48	3.3%	16	1.1%	0.0%	117	7.9%	24.8%	581	88	15.1%
Sidney	619	29	4.7%	14	2.3%	28.6%	55	8.9%	38.2%	232	31	13.4%
Sigourney	1,080	55	5.1%	33	3.1%	18.2%	107	9.9%	43.9%	475	95	20.0%
Silver City	140	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	0.0%	23	16.4%	34.8%	54	16	29.6%
Sioux Center	3,171	77	2.4%	31	1.0%	41.9%	121	3.8%	61.2%	671	95	14.2%
Sioux City	48,357	1875	3.9%	884	1.8%	20.0%	4,468	9.2%	35.9%	11288	2,117	18.8%
Sioux Rapids	351	21	6.0%	14	4.0%	0.0%	37	10.5%	27.0%	233	40	17.2%
Slater	748	16	2.1%	5	0.7%	0.0%	36	4.8%	47.2%	187	26	13.9%
Sloan	521	16	3.1%	8	1.5%	0.0%	42	8.1%	31.0%	155	19	12.3%
Smithland	118	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	0.0%	15	12.7%	53.3%	61	14	23.0%
Soldier	103	5	4.9%	3	2.9%	66.7%	13	12.6%	46.2%	68	11	16.2%
Solon	620	2	0.3%	2	0.3%	0.0%	22	3.5%	50.0%	139	23	16.5%
Somers	78	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	6.4%	20.0%	26	1	3.8%
South English	135	9	6.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	13	9.6%	61.5%	35	3	8.6%
Spencer	6,458	223	3.5%	94	1.5%	27.7%	607	9.4%	48.8%	1675	239	14.3%
Spillville	169	2	1.2%	2	1.2%	0.0%	2	1.2%	0.0%	115	29	25.2%
Spirit Lake	2,197	56	2.5%	27	1.2%	25.9%	162	7.4%	43.2%	669	129	19.3%
Spragueville	61	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	3.3%	100.0%	20	9	45.0%
Springbrook	76	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	7.9%	66.7%	14	4	28.6%
Spring Hill	44	2	4.5%	2	4.5%	0.0%	6	13.6%	66.7%	6	0	0.0%
Springville	624	17	2.7%	6	1.0%	33.3%	30	4.8%	23.3%	163	17	10.4%
Stacyville	230	16	7.0%	3	1.3%	0.0%	11	4.8%	36.4%	112	16	14.3%
Stanhope	256	6	2.3%	4	1.6%	0.0%	30	11.7%	23.3%	95	13	13.7%
Stanley	71	18	25.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	9.9%	100.0%	19	2	10.5%
Stanton	367	10	2.7%	7	1.9%	0.0%	14	3.8%	35.7%	114	22	19.3%
Stanwood	384	13	3.4%	11	2.9%	18.2%	25	6.5%	44.0%	117	18	15.4%
State Center	656	26	4.0%	15	2.3%	46.7%	57	8.7%	36.8%	292	34	11.6%
Steamboat Rock	176	10	5.7%	2	1.1%	0.0%	18	10.2%	66.7%	75	12	16.0%
Stockport	123	2	1.6%	2	1.6%	0.0%	9	7.3%	0.0%	78	12	15.4%

				10	6 to 64 yea	ırs				6	5 years and o	ver
		With mo	bility or									nobility or
		self-care	limitation	With n	nobility lin	nitation	With a	a work dis			self-care	limitation
						Percent			Percent			
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent
Stockton	127	7	5.5%	3	2.4%	0.0%	11	8.7%	36.4%	11	6	54.5%
Storm Lake	5,417	166	3.1%	72	1.3%	30.6%	389	7.2%	38.8%	1435	207	14.4%
Story City	1,509	26	1.7%	12	0.8%	41.7%	61	4.0%	55.7%	624	119	19.1%
Stout	101	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	0.0%	7	6.9%	42.9%	25	3	12.0%
Stratford	354	19	5.4%	8	2.3%	12.5%	32	9.0%	34.4%	167	34	20.4%
Strawberry Point	609	36	5.9%	21	3.4%	33.3%	36	5.9%	30.6%	304	46	15.1%
Struble	49	2	4.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	9	18.4%	100.0%	12	6	50.0%
Stuart	814	12	1.5%	6	0.7%	0.0%	70	8.6%	30.0%	271	62	22.9%
Sully	447	13	2.9%	8	1.8%	25.0%	21	4.7%	61.9%	175	26	14.9%
Sumner	1,094	46	4.2%	22	2.0%	31.8%	89	8.1%	38.2%	471	81	17.2%
Superior	91	6	6.6%	4	4.4%	0.0%	10	11.0%	60.0%	21	12	57.1%
Sutherland	351	11	3.1%	9	2.6%	44.4%	41	11.7%	34.1%	185	25	13.5%
Swaledale	103	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	7.8%	0.0%	40	7	17.5%
Swan	36	3	8.3%	3	8.3%	0.0%	8	22.2%	37.5%	3	0	0.0%
Swea City	320	3	0.9%	3	0.9%	0.0%	32	10.0%	37.5%	188	34	18.1%
Swisher	425	12	2.8%	8	1.9%	50.0%	26	6.1%	42.3%	58	14	24.1%
Tabor	502	14	2.8%	6	1.2%	33.3%	34	6.8%	38.2%	203	56	27.6%
Tama	1,556	56	3.6%	33	2.1%	0.0%	138	8.9%	44.9%	451	69	15.3%
Templeton	176	4	2.3%	2	1.1%	0.0%	13	7.4%	7.7%	90	7	7.8%
Tennant	37	4	10.8%	4	10.8%	0.0%	8	21.6%	0.0%	17	0	0.0%
Terril	187	12	6.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	12	6.4%	66.7%	104	18	17.3%
Thayer	55	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	10.9%	0.0%	5	2	40.0%
Thompson	274	4	1.5%	4	1.5%	0.0%	32	11.7%	43.8%	133	21	15.8%
Thor	112	6	5.4%	3	2.7%	0.0%	8	7.1%	37.5%	47	0	0.0%
Thornburg	50	8	16.0%	4	8.0%	50.0%	11	22.0%	63.6%	21	2	9.5%
Thornton	236	11	4.7%	6	2.5%	33.3%	32	13.6%	18.8%	100	8	8.0%
Thurman	128	26	20.3%	12	9.4%	0.0%	29	22.7%	31.0%	37	4	10.8%
Tiffin	297	8	2.7%	3	1.0%	0.0%	18	6.1%	44.4%	55	7	12.7%
Tingley	91	6	6.6%	6	6.6%	33.3%	21	23.1%	33.3%	64	13	20.3%
Tipton	1,698	72	4.2%	37	2.2%	24.3%	149	8.8%	46.3%	565	142	25.1%
Titonka	221	9	4.1%	4	1.8%	50.0%	15	6.8%	26.7%	192	21	10.9%
Toledo	1,200	23	1.9%	15	1.3%	0.0%	79	6.6%	54.4%	462	94	20.3%
Toronto	78	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	9.0%	57.1%	14	6	42.9%
Traer	766	12	1.6%	4	0.5%	0.0%	48	6.3%	45.8%	374	70	18.7%
Treynor	513	21	4.1%	2	0.4%	100.0%	25	4.9%	68.0%	140	33	23.6%
Tripoli	635	22	3.5%	8	1.3%	25.0%	42	6.6%	40.5%	292	50	17.1%

	16 to 64 years									65 years and over			
	With mobility or										With a mobility or		
	self-care limita		limitation				With a work disability				self-care	limitation	
						Percent			Percent				
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed		Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent	
Truesdale	82	7	8.5%	1	1.2%	100.0%	7	8.5%	42.9%	12	8	66.7%	
Truro	225	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	17	7.6%	29.4%	69	10	14.5%	
Turin	46	6	13.0%	6	13.0%	33.3%	8	17.4%	50.0%	15	3	20.0%	
Udell	40	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	7	17.5%	0.0%	10	4	40.0%	
Underwood	320	10	3.1%	10	3.1%	40.0%	26	8.1%	46.2%	66	19	28.8%	
Union	253	19	7.5%	8	3.2%	0.0%	20	7.9%	50.0%	103	18	17.5%	
Unionville	70	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	0.0%	17	24.3%	11.8%	31	9	29.0%	
University Heights	707	16	2.3%	12	1.7%	33.3%	47	6.6%	51.1%	151	7	4.6%	
University Park	398	4	1.0%	4	1.0%	0.0%	31	7.8%	61.3%	60	13	21.7%	
Urbana	313	7	2.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	27	8.6%	48.1%	92	19	20.7%	
Urbandale	16,082	206	1.3%	129	0.8%	22.5%	602	3.7%	50.2%	1626	196	12.1%	
Ute	224	17	7.6%	17	7.6%	0.0%	25	11.2%	8.0%	108	15	13.9%	
Vail	230	9	3.9%	6	2.6%	66.7%	14	6.1%	85.7%	66	12	18.2%	
Valeria	44	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	11.4%	40.0%	7	5	71.4%	
Van Horne	423	8	1.9%	4	0.9%	75.0%	38	9.0%	50.0%	129	25	19.4%	
Van Meter	478	10	2.1%	5	1.0%	0.0%	24	5.0%	66.7%	76	7	9.2%	
Van Wert	157	18	11.5%	4	2.5%	0.0%	15	9.6%	20.0%	33	12	36.4%	
Varina	59	2	3.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	1.7%	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	
Ventura	352	7	2.0%	2	0.6%	0.0%	29	8.2%	24.1%	131	8	6.1%	
Victor	522	8	1.5%	8	1.5%	62.5%	45	8.6%	44.4%	219	39	17.8%	
Villisca	658	35	5.3%	20	3.0%	40.0%	111	16.9%	38.7%	330	58	17.6%	
Vincent	90	4	4.4%	2	2.2%	0.0%	9	10.0%	0.0%	43	9	20.9%	
Vining	43	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	11.6%	100.0%	13	5	38.5%	
Vinton	2,769	112	4.0%	56	2.0%	0.0%	305	11.0%	37.7%	921	207	22.5%	
Volga	152	5	3.3%	2	1.3%	0.0%	16	10.5%	62.5%	79	16	20.3%	
Wadena	125	8	6.4%	4	3.2%	50.0%	8	6.4%	50.0%	48	17	35.4%	
Wahpeton	250	10	4.0%	5	2.0%	0.0%	12	4.8%	41.7%	234	26	11.1%	
Walcott	815	13	1.6%	7	0.9%	42.9%	51	6.3%	43.1%	188	32	17.0%	
Walford	185	4	2.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	4.3%	50.0%	26	9	34.6%	
Walker	394	10	2.5%	4	1.0%	0.0%	51	12.9%	52.9%	87	22	25.3%	
Wallingford	105	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	0.0%	6	5.7%	33.3%	46	7	15.2%	
Wall Lake	397	8	2.0%	2	0.5%	100.0%	27	6.8%	33.3%	229	41	17.9%	
Walnut	397	14	3.5%	14	3.5%	0.0%	50	12.6%	24.0%	235	48	20.4%	
Wapello	1,125	88	7.8%	10	0.9%	40.0%	95	8.4%	41.1%	347	98	28.2%	
Washington	3,791	63	1.7%	16	0.4%	0.0%	230	6.1%	38.3%	1436	282	19.6%	
Washta	155	2	1.3%	2	1.3%	100.0%	25	16.1%	32.0%	58	18	31.0%	

	16 to 64 years										65 years and over			
		With mobility or									With a mobility or			
		self-care limitation		With mobility limitation			With a work disability				self-care	limitation		
						Percent			Percent					
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed	Number	Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent		
Waterloo	40,049	1794	4.5%	871	2.2%	14.8%	4,102	10.2%	30.6%	9913	1,874	18.9%		
Waterville	66	2	3.0%	2	3.0%	0.0%	9	13.6%	55.6%	25	5	20.0%		
Waucoma	144	11	7.6%	4	2.8%	0.0%	13	9.0%	15.4%	75	14	18.7%		
Waukee	1,635	35	2.1%	21	1.3%	42.9%	93	5.7%	64.5%	229	48	21.0%		
Waukon	2,060	37	1.8%	33	1.6%	39.4%	144	7.0%	54.2%	906	181	20.0%		
Waverly	5,452	115	2.1%	41	0.8%	0.0%	285	5.2%	43.9%	1261	293	23.2%		
Wayland	412	6	1.5%	2	0.5%	0.0%	16	3.9%	50.0%	178	25	14.0%		
Webb	66	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	6.1%	100.0%	62	18	29.0%		
Webster	53	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	7.5%	50.0%	32	4	12.5%		
Webster City	4,634	279	6.0%	131	2.8%	21.4%	533	11.5%	30.6%	1384	296	21.4%		
Weldon	64	4	6.3%	4	6.3%	0.0%	7	10.9%	42.9%	30	4	13.3%		
Wellman	551	24	4.4%	15	2.7%	13.3%	46	8.3%	41.3%	246	35	14.2%		
Wellsburg	325	17	5.2%	7	2.2%	71.4%	28	8.6%	60.7%	244	29	11.9%		
Welton	92	2	2.2%	2	2.2%	0.0%	9	9.8%	33.3%	24	9	37.5%		
Wesley	235	11	4.7%	6	2.6%	0.0%	19	8.1%	10.5%	106	10	9.4%		
West Bend	403	4	1.0%	2	0.5%	0.0%	29	7.2%	44.8%	228	31	13.6%		
West Branch	1,115	35	3.1%	18	1.6%	22.2%	57	5.1%	52.6%	212	26	12.3%		
West Burlington	1,979	70	3.5%	28	1.4%	35.7%	151	7.6%	28.5%	502	76	15.1%		
West Chester	132	10	7.6%	2	1.5%	0.0%	14	10.6%	14.3%	26	8	30.8%		
West Des Moines	21,633	463	2.1%	310	1.4%	10.0%	882	4.1%	40.9%	2992	447	14.9%		
Westfield	74	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	20	27.0%	20.0%	50	9	18.0%		
Westgate	132	2	1.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	7.6%	20.0%	39	2	5.1%		
West Liberty	1,671	25	1.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	95	5.7%	16.8%	374	85	22.7%		
West Okoboji	176	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	13	7.4%	61.5%	65	3	4.6%		
Westphalia	82	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	0.0%	8	9.8%	25.0%	31	3	9.7%		
West Point	577	11	1.9%	6	1.0%	0.0%	33	5.7%	54.5%	202	48	23.8%		
Westside	200	15	7.5%	7	3.5%	14.3%	16	8.0%	50.0%	75	11	14.7%		
West Union	1,347	57	4.2%	32	2.4%	37.5%	101	7.5%	66.3%	508	96	18.9%		
Westwood	67	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	11.9%	75.0%	11	0	0.0%		
What Cheer	415	25	6.0%	19	4.6%	21.1%	73	17.6%	31.5%	181	33	18.2%		
Wheatland	399	11	2.8%	6	1.5%	33.3%	39	9.8%	43.6%	157	33	21.0%		
Whiting	319	16	5.0%	4	1.3%	0.0%	15	4.7%	26.7%	126	18	14.3%		
Whittemore	243	7	2.9%	2	0.8%	0.0%	19	7.8%	42.1%	139	46	33.1%		
Whitten	66	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	6.1%	50.0%	22	2	9.1%		
Willey	52	2	3.8%	2	3.8%	0.0%	4	7.7%	0.0%	6	0	0.0%		
Williams	196	6	3.1%	2	1.0%	0.0%	12	6.1%	58.3%	105	16	15.2%		

	16 to 64 years										65 years and over		
		With mobility or self-care limitation									With a n	nobility or	
				With mobility limitation			With a work disability				self-care limitation		
						Percent			Percent				
Area	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	employed	Number	Percent	employed	Total	Number	Percent	
Williamsburg	1,139	21	1.8%	12	1.1%	33.3%	65	5.7%	50.8%	445	82	18.4%	
Williamson	100	20	20.0%	10	10.0%	50.0%	25	25.0%	52.0%	27	0	0.0%	
Wilton	1,571	7	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	67	4.3%	55.2%	327	78	23.9%	
Windsor Heights	3,372	60	1.8%	10	0.3%	0.0%	154	4.6%	53.2%	992	114	11.5%	
Winfield	548	19	3.5%	17	3.1%	0.0%	68	12.4%	45.6%	215	35	16.3%	
Winterset	2,167	84	3.9%	40	1.8%	45.0%	229	10.6%	52.4%	945	178	18.8%	
Winthrop	387	16	4.1%	6	1.6%	33.3%	32	8.3%	46.9%	140	32	22.9%	
Wiota	112	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	26	23.2%	57.7%	32	6	18.8%	
Woden	129	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	17	13.2%	64.7%	64	17	26.6%	
Woodbine	734	27	3.7%	15	2.0%	0.0%	59	8.0%	59.3%	352	56	15.9%	
Woodburn	162	5	3.1%	3	1.9%	0.0%	25	15.4%	36.0%	21	0	0.0%	
Woodward	716	26	3.6%	5	0.7%	0.0%	65	9.1%	70.8%	163	23	14.1%	
Woolstock	123	8	6.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	15	12.2%	40.0%	49	12	24.5%	
Worthington	239	6	2.5%	1	0.4%	100.0%	19	7.9%	57.9%	65	14	21.5%	
Wyoming	342	15	4.4%	8	2.3%	50.0%	28	8.2%	57.1%	136	20	14.7%	
Yale	133	9	6.8%	3	2.3%	0.0%	10	7.5%	30.0%	55	7	12.7%	
Yetter	20	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	10	0	0.0%	
Yorktown	62	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	100.0%	8	12.9%	75.0%	18	0	0.0%	
Zearing	316	10	3.2%	3	0.9%	0.0%	20	6.3%	75.0%	121	43	35.5%	
Zwingle	53	4	7.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	7.5%	100.0%	10	0	0.0%	

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Decennial Census 1990 Census: STF3, Tables P066, P067 and P069

Prepared By: State Library of Iowa, State Data Center Program, 800-248-4483, 2/19/2007

www.iowadatacenter.org